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Topic Overview

With the advent of potent antiretroviral therapies, the life expectancy of individuals with HIV has increased dramatically, and HIV clinical care has transitioned to a chronic disease model. In recent years, proportionately fewer individuals with HIV experience AIDS-related complications compared with non-AIDS serious illnesses, such as cardiovascular disease and non-AIDS-defining malignancies.[1] Consequently, clinicians who provide primary care to persons with HIV should have the knowledge and skills to recognize and manage common primary care conditions and to implement evidence-based prevention measures. This review will explore several common topics in the primary care management of persons with HIV.
Cardiovascular Risk

There are many factors that influence and increase cardiovascular disease risk, including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and smoking. These factors are addressed separately in this Topic Review. This section will briefly address cardiovascular risk and include a discussion of aspirin prevention for cardiovascular disease (CVD) prevention and screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm.

Aspirin for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention

For persons with a documented history of CVD, aspirin for secondary prevention is strongly recommended. In contrast, the use of aspirin as primary prevention (i.e., for those in whom CVD has not yet been diagnosed) remains controversial. More recently, three large randomized controlled trials involving different populations (HIV was not an exclusion) examined the impact of aspirin 100 mg daily for primary prevention of CVD.[2,3,4] In all three studies, the risk of bleeding outweighed the benefit of preventing CVD (Figure 1).[5] Some postulated reasons for the limited benefit of aspirin in these recent trials include lower overall rates in modern populations of CVD, hypertension, uncontrolled hyperlipidemia, and smoking.[5] The following summarizes professional guideline recommendations regarding aspirin use for primary prevention.

- **USPSTF**: In 2022, the United States Preventive Services Taskforce (USPSTF) recommended against initiation of low-dose aspirin as primary prevention in adults 60 years or older.[6] The USPSTF also recommended that in adults 40 to 59 years with a 10-year ASCVD risk 10% or greater, the decision to initiate low-dose aspirin for primary prevention of CVD should be individualized.[6]
- **ACC/AHA**: The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) recommends considering aspirin for select adults 40 to 70 years of age who are at higher ASCVD risk but not at increased bleeding risk.[7,8]
- **ADA**: The American Diabetes Association (ADA) states aspirin may be considered as primary prevention in those with diabetes who are at increased CVD risk after a discussion regarding benefits versus the increased risk of bleeding.[9]

Screening for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA)

An abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) is defined by the abnormal dilation of the abdominal aorta to a maximum diameter of 3 cm or greater.[10] Most AAAs are asymptomatic until they rupture, and when that occurs, the mortality rate is high. The prevalence of AAA is generally greater in older individuals, particularly men, but the most important risk factor for AAA is smoking.[11] Thus, the USPSTF recommends one-time AAA screening with ultrasonography for men 65 to 75 years of age who have ever smoked.[12]

Special Considerations in Persons with HIV

Cardiovascular Risk in Persons with HIV

Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease are of special importance for individuals with HIV, with evidence showing a 1.5- to 2-fold greater risk of CVD in people with HIV when compared with those without HIV.[13,14] The increased CVD risk conferred by HIV has now been demonstrated in the Global Burden of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in People Living with HIV, the Kaiser Observational Study (Figure 2), and the Veterans Aging Cohort Study (Figure 3).[13,15,16] Rates of heart failure, stroke, pulmonary hypertension, and sudden cardiac death are also higher for people with HIV, even those taking antiretroviral therapy with suppressed HIV RNA levels.[17] For these reasons, many experts consider HIV an independent CVD risk factor, especially in persons with HIV and more advanced immunosuppression.

Factors Associated with Increased Cardiovascular Risk in Persons with HIV
The increased risk of CVD in persons with HIV is potentially mediated by (1) traditional risk factors, such as dyslipidemia, obesity, and cigarette smoking, (2) metabolic alterations related to antiretroviral therapy (e.g. insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia), and (3) factors linked to HIV itself, including immune activation and inflammation.\[14,17,18\] In addition, CVD in persons with HIV disproportionately affects Hispanic and Black individuals.\[19,20,21\]

**Cardiovascular Risk and Antiretroviral Therapy**

The overall benefits of antiretroviral therapy clearly outweigh the risks. In the Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) trial, continuous antiretroviral therapy compared to intermittent (driven by CD4 count) antiretroviral therapy decreased all-cause mortality, including death from cardiovascular disease.\[22\] Nevertheless, studies examining individual drug and class effects have raised concerns regarding the contribution of abacavir and protease inhibitors (PIs) to cardiovascular risk, although results have been conflicting.\[13,23,24,25,26\] The Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study found that recent abacavir use conferred the highest relative rate of myocardial infarction (relative rate of 1.89, even after controlling for traditional cardiovascular risk factors); in the D:A:D study, the abacavir effect appeared to be reversible after the drug was stopped.\[27,28,29\] Based on existing data, most experts would avoid abacavir in those with CVD.

**Cardiovascular Risk Reduction Strategies in Persons with HIV**

Cardiovascular risk reduction in persons with HIV is multifactorial, but general measures based on the available literature include the following:\[17,30,31\]

- Start antiretroviral therapy as soon as possible after diagnosis
- Achieve and sustain suppressed HIV RNA levels
- Encourage smoking cessation
- Promote physical activity
- Manage lipid, blood pressure, and glycemic abnormalities
- Avoid heavy alcohol use
- Adhere to American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) dietary guidelines

**Aspirin for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Persons with HIV**

Studies evaluating aspirin as primary prevention of CVD in people with HIV have not been done. Ultimately, the AHA Scientific Statement on “Characteristics, Prevention, and Management of CVD in People Living with HIV” recommends that “further studies are needed to elucidate the role of antithrombotic therapy for ASCVD prevention in HIV.”\[17\]

**Screening for Abdominal Aortic aneurysm (AAA) in Persons with HIV**

The AAA screening recommendations are the same for persons with HIV as for those without HIV—a one-time screening with ultrasonography for men 65 to 75 years of age who have ever smoked.\[12\] The risk of AAA among people with or without HIV is approximately the same.\[32\] In people with HIV, however, the risk of AAA was higher if they have had a CD4 cell count less than 200 cells/mm\(^3\) or an HIV-1 RNA level greater than 500 copies/mL.\[32\]
Hypertension

Overview

Hypertension is the most common diagnosis seen in primary care, and untreated hypertension increases the risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, renal failure, and death. According to the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), from 2017 through 2018, the age-adjusted prevalence of hypertension among persons aged 18 years and older was 45.4% (Figure 4).[33] The 2017 ACC/AHA Hypertension Guideline revised the definition for hypertension as any systolic blood pressure of at least 130 mm Hg or any diastolic BP of at least 80 mm Hg (Figure 5).[34]

Baseline Evaluation of Persons with Hypertension

The initial evaluation of patients with hypertension has three primary objectives: (1) to assess lifestyle and other risk factors that may affect prognosis and guide treatment of hypertension, (2) to reveal identifiable secondary causes of hypertension (such as renovascular or thyroid disease), and (3) to identify the presence or absence of target-organ damage and cardiovascular disease.[34] The 2017 ACC/AHA Hypertension Guideline recommends a baseline evaluation for all persons with hypertension that should include an electrocardiogram, complete blood count, sodium, potassium, creatinine with estimated glomerular filtration rate, calcium, thyroid stimulating hormone, urinalysis, a lipid profile, and a fasting blood glucose.[34]

Current Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension

The 2017 ACC/AHA Hypertension Guideline addresses thresholds for treatment initiation, blood pressure goals, and recommendations regarding medication treatment (Table 1).[34] The key points from these guidelines are summarized below:[34]

- For adults with hypertension, the recommended blood pressure treatment goal is less than 130/80 mm Hg.
- For persons with stage 1 hypertension, the American College of Cardiology ASCVD Risk Estimator should be used to determine the estimated 10-year risk for heart disease and stroke. If the risk is less than 10%, start with healthy lifestyle recommendations and reassess in 3 to 6 months; pharmacologic therapy is recommended when the risk is greater than 10%.
- Lifestyle modification is the foundation for cardiovascular risk reduction, and counseling should be provided to all persons with hypertension and continued throughout the management of the disease. The following are recommended lifestyle changes: (1) use the Dietary Approach to Stop Hypertension (DASH), (2) lose excess body weight, (3) reduce dietary intake of sodium, (4) increase dietary intake of potassium, (5) incorporate a weekly routine of physical activity that includes aerobic exercise, dynamic resistance training, and isometric resistance training, and (6) reduce consumption of alcohol.
- First-line initial pharmacotherapy for stage 1 hypertension, when indicated, should consist of treatment with a thiazide-type diuretic, calcium-channel blocker, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, or angiotensin-receptor blocker; a repeat evaluation of blood pressure should occur in 1 month.
- Initial pharmacotherapy for stage 2 hypertension should consist of simultaneous administration of two agents of different classes (thiazide-type diuretic, calcium-channel blocker, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, or angiotensin-receptor blocker). This strategy should be used with caution in older individuals.
- Avoid the simultaneous administration of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor and an angiotensin-receptor blocker.
- For Black individuals with hypertension who do not have heart failure or chronic kidney disease, the preferred initial therapy is with either a thiazide-type diuretic or a calcium-channel blocker.
- For all individuals with chronic kidney disease (stage 3 or higher, or stage 1 or 2 with albuminuria [≥300 mg/day, or ≥300 mg/g albumin-to-creatinine ratio in the first morning void]), the
antihypertensive regimen should include an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, or an angiotensin-receptor blocker if the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor is not tolerated.

**Special Considerations for Persons with HIV**

**Hypertension in Persons with HIV**

Hypertension is common in people with HIV, and it increases the risk of acute myocardial infarction.\[35,36,37]\n
In people with HIV, the presence of hypertension is associated with traditional risk factors such as increasing age, obesity, African American race, diabetes, or hyperlipidemia.\[37]\n
**Management of Hypertension in People with HIV**

Management of hypertension in people with HIV is not specifically addressed by the 2017 ACC/AHA Hypertension Guideline referenced above or by the HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance.\[34,38]\n
Nevertheless, since both hypertension and HIV are cardiovascular risk factors, both should be managed aggressively, and it is reasonable to follow the recommendations in the 2017 ACC/AHA Hypertension Guideline.\[34]\n
In addition, clinicians should consider the risk of drug interactions when adding any antihypertensive medication to a background of antiretroviral therapy. In particular, clinicians should use caution when administering calcium channel blockers, such as amlodipine, diltiazem, felodipine, nifedipine, and verapamil, in patients taking protease inhibitors or cobicistat, since these medications can raise levels of calcium channel blocker drugs; electrocardiographic monitoring is recommended if a calcium channel blocker is used with either atazanavir or saquinavir.\[39,40\]
Hyperlipidemia

Overview

Combined data from the CDC and NHANES shows that approximately 11.5% of United States adults 20 years of age and older have elevated total cholesterol (defined as greater than or equal to 240 mg/dL) and 27.8% had a low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level greater than or equal to 130 mg/dL. Although lipid screening rates for have improved to approximately 70% of adults, this still falls short of the Healthy People 2020 target for cholesterol screening of at least 82%. Elevated cholesterol can lead to atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), the leading cause of preventable death in the United States.

Lipid-Lowering Agents

The statins remain the primary initial drug class used to treat elevated LDL cholesterol, but multiple different classes of lipid-lowering agents are now available.

- **Statins (HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors):** The statin class of medication works by inhibiting cholesterol synthesis. Specifically, these medications inhibit 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, an enzyme responsible for converting HMG-CoA to mevalonic acid—a key step in cholesterol synthesis. In addition, statins also increase the number of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptors. For treatment purposes, statins are categorized based on their impact on lowering LDL cholesterol (LDL-C). Note that some statins have more than one intensity classification based on dose-dependent potency. The statins have the potential to cause hepatotoxicity, myopathy, and new onset diabetes mellitus.

- **Cholesterol Absorption Inhibitors:** Ezetimibe is the only approved medication in this class, and it targets the Niemann–Pick C1–like 1 (NPC1L1) protein and thereby selectively inhibits intestinal and biliary cholesterol absorption. The reduced cholesterol absorption causes decreased delivery of intestinal cholesterol to the liver and lowers circulating levels of cholesterol. This medication is also likely to increase the number of LDL receptors. The recommended dose of ezetimibe is 10 mg once daily; it is generally well tolerated and in combination with a statin, it lowers LDL cholesterol by an additional 15 to 20%, but raises high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol minimally (about 1 to 2%). When used, it is typically given in combination with a statin, and it is available as a combination pill, ezetimibe-simvastatin.

- **Bile Acid Sequestrants:** These agents are large molecular weight polymers that bind to bile acids and bile salts in the intestines, forming an insoluble complex that is excreted in stool. Commonly used bile acid sequestrants include cholestyramine, colesevelam, and colestipol; these medications lower LDL-C by about 15 to 30%. Since these medications are not systemically absorbed, they are generally considered safe but they may cause bloating and gastrointestinal discomfort. These medications should not be used in someone with biliary obstruction, severe constipation, or severe hypertriglyceridemia.

- **PCSK9 Inhibitors:** The PCSK9 inhibitors are injectable humanized monoclonal antibodies that bind to proprotein convertase subtilisin–kexin type 9 (PCSK9) and thereby decrease degradation of LDL receptors. At the surface of hepatocytes, the LDL receptors act as binding sites for circulating LDL cholesterol—a key step for processing and removal of LDL. Within the hepatocytes, the LDL receptors have a recycling process, whereby they either return to the cell surface or they are shunted to the lysosome and degraded. The enzyme PCSK9 enhances movement of the LDL receptors to the lysosome. Accordingly, the PCSK9 inhibitors reduce the impact of PCSK9 on LDL receptors being shunted to lysosomes, effectively creating more LDL receptors at the surface of the hepatocyte. This class of medications includes alirocumab and evolocumab; both of these agents are very potent, lowering LDL cholesterol by about 40 to 60%. Although PCSK9 inhibitors are potent, safe, and dosed infrequently, they require subcutaneous injections and are very expensive.

- **Fibrates (PPAR Agonists):** The fibrates—derivatives of fibric acid—exert their action as an agonist of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor alpha (PPAR-α), a protein that increases gene
transcription of proteins that regulate metabolism of triglycerides and HDL.[49,50] Fibrates can lower triglycerides by approximately 40% and increase HDL by 15%, but they have minimal impact on LDL levels. Commonly used fibrates include bezafibrate, clofibrate, fenofibrate, and gemfibrozil.

Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidemia

In the United States, the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines are the most important guidelines for the management of hyperlipidemia.[42] These guidelines are extensive and focus on recommendations for primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD in the general population, including persons with diabetes mellitus.[42] The 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines discuss multiple factors to consider when deciding whether to initiate lipid-lowering treatment, including prior ASCVD event, age, LDL-C levels, high-risk conditions, and estimated 10-year risk for heart disease (using the American College of Cardiology ASCVD Risk Estimator).[42]

Baseline Evaluation and Initiation of Therapy

Evaluation for secondary causes of hyperlipidemia should be considered, particularly in persons with severe elevations of LDL (greater than or equal to 190 mg/dL) or triglycerides (greater than or equal to 500 mg/dL). Major secondary causes that are encountered in clinical practice include diet, medications (diuretics, cyclosporine, glucocorticoids, and amiodarone), medical diseases (biliary obstruction or nephrotic syndrome), and disorders of altered metabolism (hypothyroidism, obesity, pregnancy, and diabetes). For patients meeting criteria for benefit from statin therapy, the guidelines specify whether high-, moderate-, or low-intensity statin should be initiated, depending on age, calculated ASCVD risk, LDL-C level, and other clinical factors (Figure 6).[51]

Secondary Prevention in Persons with Clinical ASCVD

All persons with clinical ASCVD should receive counseling for optimizing a healthy lifestyle and all should receive secondary prevention with lipid-lowering therapy.[42] The type and intensity of the lipid-lowering therapy depends on (1) the risk of developing future ASCVD events (not at very high risk versus very high risk) (Table 2), (2) age relative to 75 years of age, (3) tolerance of statin therapy, and (4) results with statin therapy.[42] In general, the initial goal of statin therapy for secondary prevention in persons with ASCVD is to achieve a 50% or greater reduction in LDL-C levels and an absolute LDL-C level less than 70 mg/dL.[42] The following summarizes key recommendations in the guidelines for secondary prevention in persons with ASCVD; detailed recommendations are given in the guidelines.[42]

- **Age 75 Years and Younger (and Not at Very High Risk):** Initiate therapy with a high-intensity statin; if the high-intensity statin is not tolerated, then use a moderate-intensity statin. If while on statin therapy, the LDL-C remains at 70 mg/dL or greater, consider adding ezetimibe.
- **Age Older than 75 Years (and Not at Very High Risk):** Initiate therapy with a moderate- or high-intensity statin.
- **Very High Risk (Regardless of Age):** Individuals who meet criteria as very high risk of having a future ASCVD event should initially receive a high-intensity or maximally-tolerated statin. If while on statin therapy, the LDL-C remains at 70 mg/dL or greater, adding ezetimibe is reasonable. If the LDL-C remains at 70 mg/dL or greater while on a high-intensity statin and ezetimibe, it is reasonable to consult with a lipid specialist to consider addition of a PCSK9 inhibitor.

Primary Prevention in Adults

The following summarizes key recommendations from the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines regarding lipid management for primary prevention of ASCVD.[42] In general, the target LDL-C goals for primary prevention are not as stringent as with secondary prevention.[42] Detailed explanations and recommendations are contained in the guidelines.[42] The following highlights key recommendations in these guidelines.
**LDL-C of 190 mg/dL or Greater**: For individuals with an LDL-C of 190 mg/dL or greater, no further risk assessment is needed, and therapy can be initiated with a high-intensity statin. Further management of these individuals is based on age and response to therapy.

**Diabetes Mellitus**: For persons with diabetes mellitus aged 40 to 75 years, perform further risk assessment. In general, initiate therapy with a moderate-intensity statin, but consider using a high-intensity statin if the individual has multiple ASCVD risk factors. Consider adding ezetimibe if the 10-year ASCVD risk is greater than 20%. For persons with diabetes who are older than 75 years of age, it is reasonable to continue statin therapy, but the decision to initiate statin therapy at this age should be based on a discussion between the medical provider and the patient.

**LDL-C of 71 to 189 mg/dL and Age 40 to 75 Years, without Diabetes**: For this group of individuals, the most important first step is to estimate the 10-year ASCVD risk using the American College of Cardiology ASCVD Risk Estimator. In addition, it is important to evaluate for any ASCVD risk enhancers that exist (Table 3). These recommendations are based primarily on the following 10-year risk categories: low risk (less than 5%), borderline risk (5% up to 7.5%), intermediate risk (7.5% up to 20%), and high risk (20% or greater). In general, the higher the 10-year ASCVD risk category and the greater number of concomitant ASCVD risk enhancers, the more likely that treatment with a statin should be recommended.

**Management of Hypertriglyceridemia**

Elevated triglycerides can also increase the risk of cardiovascular disease. The 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines outline two categories of elevated triglycerides: (1) moderate hypertriglyceridemia (fasting or nonfasting triglycerides 150 to 499 mg/dL) or (2) severe hypertriglyceridemia (fasting triglycerides 500 mg/dL or greater).[42] The following summarizes key general recommendations from the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines regarding lipid management for primary prevention of ASCVD.[42]

- **Adults 20 Years of Age and Older and Fasting or Nonfasting Triglyceride Level 175 to 499 mg/dL**: For this group, clinicians should address and modify lifestyle factors as needed and optimally manage secondary factors, such as diabetes mellitus, chronic liver or kidney disease, or hypothyroidism. In addition, any medication that may increase triglycerides should, if possible, be stopped.
- **Adults 40 to 75 years of age with Moderate or Severe Hypertriglyceridemia and ASCVD risk of 7.5% or Higher**: These guidelines suggest it is reasonable to reevaluate the ASCVD after the individual addresses lifestyle and secondary factors; if triglycerides are persistently elevated, consider initiation or intensification of statin therapy.
- **Adults 40 to 75 years of age with Severe Hypertriglyceridemia and ASCVD risk of 7.5% or Higher**: A reasonable approach is to address any potential reversible causes of hypertriglyceridemia and initiate lipid-lowering therapy with a statin.
- **Adults with Severe Hypertriglyceridemia, especially Fasting Triglyceride Levels of 1,000 mg/dL or Greater**: The guidelines suggest it is reasonable to first address other causes of hypertriglyceridemia; if triglyceride levels remain elevated or increase, then implement a very low-fat diet, avoid alcohol and refined carbohydrates, increase consumption of omega-3 fatty acids, and start fibrate therapy if necessary to prevent pancreatitis.

**Special Considerations for People with HIV**

Although the association between HIV and elevated ASCVD risk has been documented and is discussed and listed as an ASCVD risk enhancer in the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines, HIV has not been incorporated into formal risk assessment recommendations.[17] In addition, large studies evaluating clinical end points for cardioprotective therapy in people with HIV have not been published, and long-term data on cardiovascular disease incidence in the modern antiretroviral therapy era is lacking.[17]

**Mechanism of Lipid Disorders Associated with HIV**
The pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease and dyslipidemia in HIV is multifactorial—it has been associated with traditional risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, family history, and tobacco use, as well as with HIV itself and antiretroviral therapy.[14,20] Possible mechanisms include individual genetic factors, increased triglycerides, insulin resistance, increased activity of various hepatic enzymes, and abnormal HDL metabolism.[52,53] Patient lifestyle and environmental factors may also contribute, as increasing rates of obesity and metabolic syndromes have been observed in people with HIV, both related to and independent of antiretroviral therapy.[14,54] Chronic HIV can lead to abnormalities in lipid levels, vascular stiffness, inflammation, and immune activation even with effective antiretroviral therapy and virologic suppression.[55] Compared to individuals without HIV, people with HIV have been shown to have a higher prevalence of atypical, high-risk, noncalcified coronary plaques.[14,55]

**Effect of Antiretroviral Therapy on Lipids**

Different antiretroviral therapies have distinct effects on lipid levels, with protease inhibitors generally causing the greatest increases (especially LDL and triglycerides) and integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) exerting the least lipid effect; within classes, certain agents are recognized to cause more adverse lipid effects than others (Figure 7).[52,56] If an individual with HIV has abnormal lipid levels while taking antiretroviral therapy, a review of the antiretroviral regimen should be performed to identify medications that may be contributing to lipid abnormalities, particularly efavirenz, protease inhibitors, and boosting agents (ritonavir and cobicistat).[17] Modern preferred unboosted INSTI-based antiretroviral regimens generally do not adversely impact lipid parameters and switching to an INSTI-based regimen from a boosted-protease inhibitor can improve lipids.[57,58] Tenofovir DF, but not tenofovir alafenamide, typically lowers LDL and triglyceride levels.[59] If a decision is made to change a patient’s existing antiretroviral therapy regimen to a more “lipid-friendly” antiretroviral regimen, the goal of maintaining viral suppression is paramount, and current and archived resistance mutations must be taken into consideration when deciding on the new regimen.[53,56]

**General Approach to Management of Hyperlipidemia in People with HIV**

The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommends obtaining a lipid profile upon initiation of care and ideally before antiretroviral therapy is begun.[38] For multiple reasons, including cardiovascular benefit, all people with HIV should receive antiretroviral therapy.[17] Effective antiretroviral therapy does not completely nullify the adverse cardiovascular impact from HIV, but it does significantly reduce it. Given that no previous risk assessment models or guidelines have focused on the management of hyperlipidemia in people with HIV, the 2019 AHA Statement on CVD and HIV offers a pragmatic approach to cardiovascular disease risk assessment and prevention in persons with HIV who are receiving fully suppressive antiretroviral therapy.[17] The AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Randomized Trial to Prevent Vascular Events in HIV (REPRIEVE) trial is an ongoing randomized, double-blind, international trial of pitavastatin 4 mg versus placebo for primary cardiovascular disease prevention in more than 7,500 persons with HIV, with an aim to test whether statin therapy reduces the risk for major adverse cardiovascular events in persons with HIV.[60,61] The REPRIEVE study was prematurely stopped because there were 35% fewer adverse cardiovascular events in persons receiving pitavastatin compared with those receiving a placebo; these results have not yet been published.[60,61]

**Lifestyle Optimization and Management of Other Risk Factors**

All people with HIV should receive counseling on lifestyle optimization that includes smoking cessation, eating a cardiac-healthy diet, engaging in regular exercise, and limiting alcohol intake to less than 7 drinks per week.[17] In addition, management of other factors that influence ASCVD, including hypertension and diabetes mellitus, should be optimized.[17]

**Lipid-Lowering Therapy in People with HIV**

Recommendations from the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines for lipid-lowering agents should
not be generalized to persons with HIV, as many statin medications have potential significant drug interactions with antiretroviral medications. When lipid-lowering therapy is indicated for persons with HIV, the 2019 AHA Statement on CVD and HIV recommends using one of following three statins:[17]

- Atorvastatin: 10 to 80 mg orally once daily
- Rosuvastratin: 5 to 40 mg orally once daily
- Pitavastatin: 2 to 4 mg orally once daily

Drug Interactions with Antiretroviral Medications and Lipid-Lowering Therapies

Careful review of the patient’s antiretroviral therapy regimen for possible drug interactions should guide the decision of which statin to start in persons with HIV. In general, pravastatin, low-dose atorvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastratin are less likely to cause drug interactions with antiretroviral medications and are the preferred agents for patients on antiretroviral therapy, particularly regimens containing a PI or an NNRTI.[62] Potentially dangerous drug interactions can occur between statin therapy and HIV antiretroviral medications, particularly with HIV PIs, NNRTIs, and the pharmacologic boosters ritonavir and cobicistat.[38,39,56,63,64,65] For example, ritonavir and cobicistat can dramatically increase serum levels of simuvastratin and lovatatin, thus increasing the risk of statin-related hepatotoxicity, myopathy, and rhabdomyolysis. For this reason, simuvastratin and lovatatin are contraindicated in combination with ritonavir- or cobicistat-containing regimens. Conversely, the NNRTI efavirenz induces statin metabolism and may decrease the efficacy of some statins.[65]

Titrating Statin Doses and Monitoring for Adverse Effects

If a statin is started, the recommendation is to “start low, go slow,” carefully titrating up the dose to minimize side effects and drug interactions while still obtaining response.[17] Particularly with atorvastatin and rosuvastratin, the recommendation is to exercise caution at the high end of the dose range to avoid potential adverse effects from a drug interaction.[17] Although the 2019 AHA Statement on CVD and HIV does not give a target goal for treatment response, the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines recommend using a goal of 50% or greater reduction in LDL-C levels. Once the statin is started, a lipid panel should be checked 4 to 12 weeks after initiation to assess for treatment response, with the goal to slowly titrate up the statin dose if needed to achieve the target LDL level. Following this, lipids should be checked every 6 months if abnormal and once yearly if stable. The statins have the potential to cause muscle and liver toxicity, especially when used at high doses. The dose of the statin should be decreased, or the medication discontinued if the person taking a statin experiences any of the following: severe muscle aches (or unexplained muscle weakness), an increase in serum creatine kinase to greater than 10 times the upper limit of normal, or an increase in hepatic aminotransferase levels that exceeds 3 times the upper limit of normal.[17]

Use of Nonstatin Therapies in People with HIV

The use of nonstatin therapies may be considered in persons with HIV in the following situations: (1) as adjunctive therapy in combination with a statin in persons who have high cardiovascular risk, (2) persons who are intolerant of statins (2), and (3) persons who fail to respond adequately to statins. In patients with familial hyperlipidemia, a high-dose statin, then ezetimibe, and last, a PCSK9 inhibitor can be tried with expert consultation and guidance, if LDL levels remain elevated despite maximal therapy. The use of ezetimibe or PCSK9 inhibitors is limited mainly to secondary prevention in persons at very high risk of an ASCVD event or those who have not experienced an adequate response to statin therapy.[42]

- **Cholesterol Absorption Inhibitor:** The use of oral ezetimibe, a cholesterol absorption inhibitor, has been studied in people with HIV and can be considered.[66,67,68] Although no specific guidelines exist for the use of ezetimibe in persons with HIV, it is reasonable to utilize a similar approach as for people without HIV, mainly using this medication as an adjunct to statin therapy in people who do not adequately respond to or cannot tolerate statin therapy.[42]
• **Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/Kexin type 9 (PCSK9) Inhibitors**: There are sparse data on the safety and efficacy of PCSK9 inhibitors in persons with HIV. An ongoing trial—the Effect of PCSK9 Inhibition on Cardiovascular Risk in Treated HIV Infection (EPIC-HIV)—is evaluating the impact of PCSK9 inhibitors on lipid levels, subclinical ASCVD, and inflammatory markers in persons with HIV.

**Use of Statins to Decrease Chronic Inflammation in People with HIV**

Although statins may confer additional benefits related to decreasing chronic inflammation in people with HIV, there is currently no indication to use statins except for lipid-lowering therapy and ASCVD prevention in this population. In the SATURN-HIV Trial, rosuvastatin slowed kidney function decline modestly after 24 weeks of therapy in participants with HIV (all of whom had stable LDL less than or equal to 130 mg/dL at baseline).[69] Interim analyses of this study population also demonstrated small but statistically significant increases in bone mineral density; however, this benefit was not seen at the end of the study period.[70,71]

**Treatment of Hypertriglyceridemia in People with HIV**

Elevated triglyceride levels are common among people with HIV. Several studies in large cohorts of people with HIV have demonstrated that triglyceride levels did not predict cardiovascular disease or were associated with marginally elevated ASCVD risk.[17,72,73] Although hypertriglyceridemia likely does not play a major role in ASCVD risk in people with HIV, treatment of severe hypertriglyceridemia (fasting triglyceride levels above 500 mg/dL) is important to prevent acute pancreatitis. An evaluation should take place for secondary causes of hypertriglyceridemia, such as diabetes mellitus, chronic liver disease, kidney disease, nephrotic syndrome, hypothyroidism, and medications with a known impact of increasing triglyceride levels. If the antiretroviral regimen includes one or more medications that are likely to elevate triglyceride levels, consideration should be given to changing the regimen. Since there are no guidelines for the management of hypertriglyceridemia in persons with HIV, we recommend following the general recommendations in the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines, as summarized above.[42]
Diabetes Mellitus

Overview

Diabetes mellitus affects approximately 11% of the United States population aged 20 years and older and contributes to significant morbidity, decreased quality of life, rising health care costs, and mortality. [41] Patients with diabetes mellitus require frequent monitoring of laboratory values and development of microvascular complications, including kidney disease, retinopathy, neuropathy, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. The following discussion will focus primarily on type-2 diabetes mellitus.

Current Guidelines

The American Diabetic Association (ADA) maintains updated guidelines pertaining to the screening, diagnosis and management of diabetes, with the most recent version published in 2023. [9, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83] In the most recent version of these guidelines are outlined below.

Diabetes-Specific Recommendations

- **Indications for Diabetes Screening:** Routine screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus and prediabetes should be considered in all adults who are overweight or obese (BMI 25 kg/m² or greater) and have at least one additional risk factor for diabetes, such as physical inactivity, first-degree relative with diabetes, or high-risk race/ethnicity (Table 4). [79] In the absence of additional risk factors, overweight and obese adults should be screened starting at age 35 years. If tests are normal, screening should be repeated approximately every 3 years. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) also recommends screening for type 2 diabetes as part of cardiovascular risk assessment in asymptomatic adults 35 to 70 years of age who are overweight or obese. [84]

- **Diabetes Diagnostic Criteria:** The diagnosis of diabetes mellitus can be made using several criteria: (1) HbA1c greater than or equal to 6.5%, (2) fasting glucose (fasting defined as no caloric intake for 8 or more hours) greater than or equal to 126 mg/dL, (3) 2-hour plasma glucose greater than or equal to 200 mg/dL during an oral glucose tolerance test using a 75 gram glucose load, or (4) a random glucose greater than or equal to 200 mg/dL in the setting of classic symptoms of hyperglycemia. [79]

- **Definition of Prediabetes:** Individuals are defined as having prediabetes if screening tests reveal any one of the following: (1) fasting glucose of 100 to 125 mg/dL, (2) a 2-hour plasma glucose level of 140 to 199 mg/dL after an oral glucose tolerance test, or (3) HbA1c of 5.7 to 6.4%. [79] Individuals with prediabetes should be informed of their increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, and they should be encouraged to pursue lifestyle modifications including weight loss and increased physical activity to lower these risks. [79] Repeat screening should occur annually in this population.

- **Pharmacologic Therapy:** For persons who meet the criteria for type 2 diabetes, pharmacologic therapy in addition to lifestyle modifications is warranted. [75] Metformin is the preferred initial pharmacologic agent in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus, as long as it is not contraindicated and the patient can tolerate it. [75] For persons with diabetes in whom atherosclerotic heart disease, heart failure, or chronic kidney disease predominates, the treatment regimen should include an agent that decreases cardiorenal risk, such as a GLP-1 receptor agonist or a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor that has demonstrated cardiovascular risk reduction. [75] Some individuals with diabetes will require additional oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin, in addition to the agents listed above. [75] Treatment should be individualized and patient centered when adding a second agent to metformin.

- **Monitoring and Goal for HbA1c:** Ongoing monitoring of HbA1c is recommended every 6 months if at treatment goal or every 3 months if not at goal or if therapy has changed. [77] The ideal HbA1c target is unclear, but recommended targets range from the most stringent goal of less than 6.5% to the least stringent goal of less than 8.0%. [77] Targeting stringent control is controversial given the finding from a large randomized control trial (ADVANCE) that found no evidence that maintaining an...
HbA1c less than 6.5% provided long-term benefit with respect to mortality or macrovascular events.[85] A goal HbA1c of less than 7.0% is considered as an appropriate goal for many nonpregnant adults.[77] A less stringent goal (HbA1c less than 8.0%) is considered appropriate for some individuals with diabetes, especially if they have experienced severe hypoglycemia, or other factors are present, such as a short life expectancy or existing major complications from long-standing diabetes.[77]

**Screening and Management of Complications**

- **Screening for Complications**: Persons should undergo annual screening for complications of type 2 diabetes, including nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy.[74] Annual screening for chronic kidney disease should consist of urinary albumin (e.g. spot urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio) and estimated glomerular filtration rate in persons with type 1 diabetes with duration of 5 years or longer and those with type 2 diabetes.[74] Comprehensive and dilated eye examinations and determination for follow-up should be conducted by an ophthalmologist or an optometrist.[74] Persons with type 2 diabetes should be assessed for diabetic peripheral neuropathy starting at diagnosis and those with type 1 should undergo assessment 5 years after the diagnosis; thereafter, screening for neuropathy should occur at least annually. Screening for neuropathy should also include screening for distal symmetric polyneuropathy and autonomic neuropathy.[74]

- **Lifestyle Management**: Persons with diabetes should receive individualized counseling for nutritional therapy, psychosocial support, smoking cessation (if indicated), and self-management support.[82] Exercise guidelines recommend, in general, at least 150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity aerobic activity and at least twice-weekly resistance training.[82]

- **Use of Aspirin in Persons with Diabetes**: Those with diabetes and a history of ASCVD should receive a daily low-dose aspirin (75 to 162 mg) as a secondary prevention strategy.[9] Daily low-dose aspirin may be considered for use as a primary cardiovascular disease prevention strategy for individuals with diabetes who have increased cardiovascular risk, but this should be a shared decision-making process weighing the benefits versus the risk of bleeding.[9] In the ASCEND Trial, which included individuals with diabetes, the risk of bleeding still outweighed the benefit of preventing CVD.[2]

- **Treatment of Hypertension in Persons with Diabetes**: For individuals with diabetes and hypertension, the ADA recommends a target blood pressure of less than 130/80 mmHg.[9] This can be achieved with either an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, angiotensin II blocker (ARB), thiazide-type diuretic, or calcium channel blocker. For those with microalbuminuria, an ACE or ARB should be the first-line choice.[9]

- **Lipid Screening and Management of Hyperlipidemia**: Lipid screening is advised in adults with type 2 diabetes at baseline and at least every 5 years thereafter.[9] For persons with diabetes who require treatment for lipid disorders, a lipid profile should be obtained at initiation of lipid-lowering therapy, within 4 to 12 weeks after initiating or changing therapy, and yearly thereafter.[9] Increased LDL should be managed aggressively with statin therapy and in accordance with the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines.[9,42]

**Special Considerations for Persons with HIV**

**Screening for Diabetes**

In the modern era of HIV treatment, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus in persons with HIV is estimated at 2 to 14%.[86] The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommendations for evaluation of diabetes in persons with HIV are as follows:[38]

- **Screening for Diabetes in Persons Not on Antiretroviral Therapy**: The HIVMA/IDSA HIV Primary Care Guidance recommends that prior to starting antiretroviral therapy, all persons with HIV should have screening for diabetes with a blood glucose (random or fasting) and HbA1c.[38] If a random blood glucose value is greater than 200 mg/dL then a fasting blood glucose should be obtained. For
persons not taking antiretroviral therapy, the diagnosis of diabetes is established with the same criteria as for persons without HIV. The ADA Guidelines recommend screening for diabetes with a fasting glucose test before starting antiretroviral therapy.[79]

- Screening for Diabetes in Persons Taking Antiretroviral Therapy: For persons taking antiretroviral therapy, only evaluation of plasma glucose (random or fasting) should be used for diabetes screening.[38] The rationale for not using HBA1c is decreased sensitivity of HBA1c for diabetes diagnosis in persons on antiretroviral therapy. The ADA Guidelines recommend screening for diabetes at the time of switching antiretroviral therapy and 3 to 6 months after starting or switching antiretroviral therapy.[79] Subsequently, repeat screening for diabetes in persons taking antiretroviral therapy should be performed annually.

General Approach to Management of Diabetes in Persons with HIV

In general, the management of diabetes in persons with HIV should occur according to the ADA guidelines.[75,76,77]

- Management of Diabetes in Persons with HIV: In most cases, persons with HIV and mild blood glucose abnormalities can be effectively managed with lifestyle changes that include weight loss, increased exercise, and dietary modification.[76,82] If therapeutic intervention is warranted, initiating treatment with metformin is preferred.[75]

- Monitoring Glycemic Status: Individuals with HIV who have diabetes should have glycemic status (HbA1c or other glycemic measurement) monitored at least twice a year, with quarterly monitoring recommended with therapy changes and when glycemic goals are not met.[77] The glycemic goal for nonpregnant adults is a HbA1c less than 7% without significant hypoglycemia; the blood glucose target goal is to have greater than 70% of readings in the target range of 70-180 mg/dL.[77]

- Screening for Renal Disease: In addition to routine monitoring of kidney function (addressed in the section on chronic kidney disease), persons with HIV should have annual monitoring of urine albuminuria in accordance with the ADA guidelines.[78,87]

- Antiretroviral Therapy: In most individuals, switching the antiretroviral regimen is not beneficial for impaired glucose tolerance. It is, however, important to evaluate potential drug interactions as some antiretroviral medications can indirectly contribute to elevated plasma glucose levels. For example, protease inhibitors and cobicistat can increase drug levels of quetiapine or certain corticosteroids (inhaled or oral) and thus cause hyperglycemia. In addition, for individuals who start antiretroviral therapy and have substantial weight gain associated with hyperglycemia, consideration should be given to a regimen to switch if the weight gain is likely attributed to the antiretroviral regimen.

- Use of Metformin and Antiretroviral Medications: Use of metformin with certain antiretroviral medications should also be carefully monitored. For example, concurrent use of metformin with dolutegravir or bictegravir can increase the concentrations of metformin.[64]
Chronic Kidney Disease

Overview

Based on NHANES data collected from 2015 through 2018, the overall prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) among adults in the United States older than 20 years was approximately 15%.[41] The 2012 KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline defines chronic kidney disease as abnormalities of kidney structure or function present for greater than 3 months, with implications for health.[88] Historically, in 2002, the National Kidney Foundation–Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF-KDOQI) proposed a chronic kidney disease staging system based on glomerular filtration rate (GFR) stages 1 through 5 (Figure 8).[89,90] The 2012 KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline recommends classifying chronic kidney disease by cause, glomerular filtration rate category, and albuminuria category in recognition that GFR and albuminuria are complementary and independent predictors of important clinical outcomes, including CKD progression, end-stage renal disease, and all-cause mortality (Figure 9).[88]

Special Considerations for Persons with HIV

In persons with HIV, the risk of developing chronic kidney disease is higher in individuals who are older in age, female, or Black and in those who have CD4 counts less than 200 cells/mm$^3$, elevated HIV RNA levels, or comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and hepatitis C.[87] Earlier in the HIV epidemic in the United States, HIV-related kidney disease predominantly resulted from sequelae of HIV infection, such as with HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) or immune complex disease; these disorders most often occurred in persons with untreated HIV, particularly those with a low CD4 cell count.[91,92] In the current era, since most people with HIV achieve virologic suppression on antiretroviral therapy and have a near normal expected lifespan, the cause of renal disease has shifted from HIV-related disease to chronic comorbid conditions, such as hypertension, diabetes, or chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection.[91,92] In addition, certain antiretroviral agents, such as tenofovir DF, can play a role in causing chronic kidney disease in persons with HIV. If chronic kidney disease develops, it has significant implications for cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality.

Guidelines and Recommendations for Persons with HIV

The HIV Medical Association (HIVMA) has provided a comprehensive HIVMA CKD Clinical Practice Guideline that addresses renal disease among persons with HIV, and it provides management recommendations.[87] Staging for chronic kidney disease in the HIVMA CKD Clinical Practice Guideline follows the KDIGO definitions outlined above.[87] The following summarizes key recommendations regarding the evaluation, management, and prevention of renal disease in persons with HIV, with an emphasis on recommendations in the Adult and Adolescent ART Guidelines and the HIVMA CKD Clinical Practice Guideline.[87,93]

Baseline Evaluation and Routine Monitoring for Renal Disease

- Persons with HIV should have a creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at time of HIV diagnosis, when antiretroviral therapy is initiated or changed, and twice a year as long as renal function remains normal.[87,93]
- Persons with HIV should have an urinalysis at entry into care.[93] In addition, urine glucose and urine protein should be assessed prior to starting an antiretroviral regimen that contains tenofovir DF or tenofovir alafenamide, and it should be monitored while receiving either of these medications.[93] Proteinuria of 1+ or greater on urinalysis should be quantified with either albumin-to-creatinine ratio (often called a urine microalbumin test) or a protein-to-creatinine ratio. Both the albumin-to-creatinine ratio and protein-to-creatinine ratio can be obtained from a spot urine sample or from a 24-hour urine collection.
- The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance provides a more liberal recommendation by suggesting that the frequency of monitoring for renal function, such as with chemistry panels and urinary
abnormalities, depends on the need to monitor for antiretroviral toxicities and the presence of underlying medical conditions that can increase risk of CKD, including diabetes, hypertension, HCV, nephrotoxic medications, genetic predisposition, or advanced HIV disease. In those taking tenofovir DF, biannual monitoring for renal function and urinary abnormalities is recommended. Otherwise, urinalysis should be monitored annually among those at risk for kidney disease.

- Workup for new-onset kidney disease in persons with HIV should include serum chemistry panel, urinalysis, quantitative measure of albuminuria, assessment of glucose and blood pressure control, markers of proximal tubular dysfunction, renal sonogram, and medication review to determine any agents that may be nephrotoxic or require renal dosing.

**Referral for Persons with HIV and Renal Impairment**

- Persons with HIV should be referred to a nephrologist if GFR declines more than 25% from baseline and to a level less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m² that fails to resolve with removal of any potential nephrotoxic drugs. Additional indications for referral include albuminuria greater than 300 mg/day, hematuria with either proteinuria or elevated blood pressure, and advanced kidney disease with GFR less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m².
- Individuals with HIV and end-stage renal disease should undergo evaluation for their potential candidacy for renal transplantation.

**HIV-Associated Nephropathy (HIVAN)**

All individuals with HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) should receive treatment with effective antiretroviral therapy at diagnosis. Antiretroviral therapy should not be withheld due to severity of renal dysfunction or due to status of CD4 cell count. For refractory HIVAN, treatment may include ACE inhibitor or ARB, and possibly also corticosteroids.

**Antiretroviral Therapy and Chronic Kidney Disease**

Certain antiretroviral agents can cause nephrotoxicity, usually through tubular injury with tenofovir DF or crystal nephropathy from atazanavir or indinavir. Antiretroviral therapy-related nephrotoxicity from tenofovir DF most frequently involves a proximal tubular nephropathy, which can progress to Fanconi syndrome. Several studies suggest that the risk for tenofovir DF-related kidney injury increases in the setting of older age, lower body weight, diabetes, hypertension, and with concomitant use of a PI, particularly ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors. With antiretroviral-associated nephrotoxicity, full renal recovery does not always occur after withdrawal of the offending drug. Tenofovir DF (and any coformulations that include tenofovir DF) should, if feasible, be avoided in persons with a baseline GFR less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m². If tenofovir DF is used in a person with a creatinine clearance less than 50 mL/min, a dose reduction is required. Tenofovir alafenamide, a prodrug of tenofovir, achieves higher intracellular but lower plasma levels of tenofovir than tenofovir DF. In addition, tenofovir alafenamide is not transmitted into the proximal tubular cells via the organic anion transporters 1 and 3. For these reasons, tenofovir alafenamide causes significantly less nephrotoxicity than tenofovir DF. Although tenofovir alafenamide is less nephrotoxic than tenofovir DF, rare cases of nephrotoxicity associated with tenofovir alafenamide have been reported. Tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine is not recommended for persons with a creatinine clearance less than 30 mL/min; tenofovir alafenamide (alone) is not recommended for persons with a creatinine clearance less than 15 mL/min.

**Evaluating Tenofovir DF-Associated Nephrotoxicity**

For individuals who develop renal dysfunction in the setting of tenofovir DF use, it can be challenging to determine whether tenofovir DF is the cause of the renal dysfunction. Measuring serum or urinary markers of proximal tubular dysfunction may be helpful in this scenario. Two indicators are highly specific markers of proximal tubular dysfunction: (1) glycosuria with normal
serum glucose, and (2) urinary phosphorus wasting with low serum phosphorus. Additional markers that suggest proximal tubular dysfunction include serum parameters (hypokalemia and decreased serum bicarbonate) and urinary abnormalities (urine albumin-to-protein ratio less than 0.4).

- Phosphorus wasting can be determined by fractional excretion of phosphate. Normal fractional excretion of phosphate is generally defined as less than 10% and impaired fractional excretion of phosphate is defined as above 20%; thus, a fractional excretion of phosphate above 20% raises the likelihood of tenofovir toxicity, whereas a result below 10% makes tenofovir toxicity unlikely.[87] See the Fractional Excretion of Phosphate Calculator in the Tools and Calculators section.
- Proteinuria is not specific for proximal tubular dysfunction but should also be included in the workup because data suggest that a lower albumin-to-protein ratio of less than 0.4 may be useful in distinguishing proteinuria due to proximal tubular dysfunction (secondary to tenofovir toxicity) from proteinuria due to glomerular disease.[87]

Criteria for Discontinuing Tenofovir DF

Regardless of the cause, the HIVMA CKD Clinical Practice Guideline states that tenofovir DF should be discontinued in persons with HIV who experience a decline in GFR greater than 25% and to a level less than 60 mL/min/1.73m², but this is particularly important when there is evidence that tenofovir DF is the cause (e.g. evidence of proximal tubular dysfunction or new-onset or worsening proteinuria).[87]

Renal Dosing of Antiretroviral Medications

The CKD-Epidemiology collaboration (CKD-EPI) or Cockcroft-Gault equation should be used to estimate creatinine clearance when dosing antiretroviral therapy or other drugs that may require renal dosing. See the Creatinine Clearance Calculator and the Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) Calculator in the Tools and Calculator section of this website.

Medications Used in HIV Care that May Cause Benign Elevations in Serum Creatinine

In contrast to tenofovir DF-induced changes in renal function that generally signify kidney damage, the medications bictegravir, cobicistat, dolutegravir, rilpivirine, and trimethoprim may decrease tubular creatinine secretion and raise serum creatinine without altering actual renal function.[38,87] In these settings, a 10 to 20% elevation (or 0.1 to 0.2 mg/dL increase) in serum creatinine may be expected.[102] Elevations in serum creatinine typically occur in the first few weeks of therapy and subsequently plateau.[103] The additive effect of these medications (e.g., when dolutegravir is combined with rilpivirine) is unclear. After initiation of these medications, a repeat serum creatinine should be obtained within one month to establish a new baseline. If the creatinine is elevated beyond the expected elevation on the first check, repeat the serum creatinine to get a better marker for true renal function.[91,104,105,106]

ASCVD Prevention in Persons with HIV and Renal Disease

- **Aspirin:** Some experts consider people with HIV and chronic kidney disease as candidates for low-dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg/day), though risk of bleeding and benefits of primary cardiovascular disease prevention should be weighed in the decision process.[87] Note the 2019 ACC/AHA Primary CVD Prevention Guideline recommends against the use of aspirin for primary prevention of ASCVD in adults at any age who are at increased risk of bleeding, including those with chronic kidney disease.[8] In addition, the USPSTF recommends against initiation of low-dose aspirin as primary prevention in adults 60 years or older. In adults 40 to 59 years with a 10-year ASCVD risk 10% or greater, which may be seen in persons with HIV and renal disease, the decision to initiate low-dose aspirin for primary prevention of CVD should be individualized.[6]
- **Lipid-Lowering Therapy:** In accordance with the 2018 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines, chronic kidney disease is considered an ASCVD risk enhancer.[42,107] Accordingly, many persons with HIV and kidney disease will receive statin therapy. Although there are no studies of statin therapy in persons with both HIV and chronic kidney disease, the HIVMA CKD Clinical Practice Guideline cites
evidence of statin benefit in persons without HIV who have chronic kidney disease.\cite{87} There is also accumulating evidence that statin therapy slows kidney function decline in persons with HIV on antiretroviral therapy.\cite{69} Because studies of patients with ESRD on hemodialysis have not shown a reduction in cardiovascular events or mortality from statin therapy, statins are not recommended in this group (regardless of HIV status).
Osteoporosis

Overview

An estimated 53 million men and women in the United States have osteoporosis or low bone density, and up to 50% of postmenopausal white women and 20% of men suffer an osteoporosis-related fracture during their lifetime.\[108,109,110,111]\) The USPSTF reported that by 2020 an estimated 12.3 million people in the United States who are older than 50 years of age will have osteoporosis.\[108]\) Osteoporosis is associated with chronic pain, disability, decreased quality of life, and increased mortality.

Risk Factors for Osteoporotic Fractures

Key risk factors for osteoporotic fractures include increasing age, low body weight, female sex, postmenopause for women, parental history of osteoporotic fracture, current tobacco use, excessive alcohol consumption, rheumatoid arthritis, vitamin D deficiency, low calcium intake, history of falls, and immobilization.\[108,110]\) In addition, osteoporosis risk increases with prolonged exposure to certain medications, such as glucocorticoids, anticoagulants, anticonvulsants, aromatase inhibitors, cancer chemotherapeutic drugs, and gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists.\[109]\) Further, rates of osteoporotic fractures are higher in White than Black individuals.\[110,111]\)

Screening for Osteoporosis

There is convincing evidence that screening for osteoporosis has predictive value for osteoporotic fractures in both women and men, and therapies are available to reduce fracture risk. Most commonly, screening is performed with measurement of bone mineral density by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) of the hip and lumbar spine. In addition, the University of Sheffield, UK developed the Fracture Risk Assessment (FRAX) tool, often referred to as the FRAX Calculation Tool, to estimate 10-year osteoporotic fracture risk based on age, race, body mass index (BMI), secondary causes of osteoporosis, personal and parental fracture history, tobacco and alcohol use, and previous DXA results if available. If previous DXA results are not available, the calculator can still be used. The FRAX tool has been studied throughout the world and provides country-specific risk assessment, which can be used to select appropriate candidates for osteoporosis screening and treatment.

Current Guidelines

Screening Recommendations

In the general population, the 2018 USPSTF Osteoporosis Screening Guidelines provide recommendations for three groups of adults: (1) women 65 years of age and older, (2) postmenopausal women younger than 65 years of age, and (3) men.\[108]\)

- **Women 65 Years of Age and Older:** Screening for osteoporosis should be performed in all women 65 years of age and older. A DXA scan is most commonly used for screening.
- **Postmenopausal Women Younger than 65 Years of Age:** Screening for osteoporosis is recommended for postmenopausal women younger than 65 years of age who are at increased risk of osteoporosis, as determined by a clinical risk assessment tool, such as the FRAX Calculation Tool. More specifically, these guidelines recommend using the risk assessment tool for postmenopausal women younger than 65 who have at least one of the following risk factors for developing osteoporosis: parental history of hip fracture, smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, or low body weight.
- **Men:** There is no recommendation for or against screening for osteoporosis in men due to insufficient data. Note that guidelines issued by the National Osteoporosis Foundation recommend that all men age 70 years and older and men age 50 to 69 years with increased risk for osteoporosis should undergo bone mineral density screening with DXA scan.\[109]\)
Management Recommendations

In 2017, the American College of Physicians (ACP) released an evidence-based clinical practice guideline titled Treatment of Low Bone Density or Osteoporosis to Prevent Fractures in Men and Women.[110]

- **Treatment of Osteoporosis in Women:** The ACP guidelines recommends treatment of women with osteoporosis using bisphosphonates (including alendronate, risedronate, or zoledronic acid) or denosumab therapy, and avoiding hormonal therapy or selective estrogen receptor modulators.[110] Treatment should generally be discontinued after 5 years. Monitoring for progression of osteoporosis during treatment is not recommended. Continuing treatment after the initial 5 years is controversial, but may be beneficial for some women, particularly those whose bone mineral density remains in the osteoporotic range after 5 years of treatment.[112,113]

- **Treatment of Osteoporosis in Men:** Treatment of men with osteoporosis should primarily involve bisphosphonates, though data is limited in this population.[110]

- **Treatment of Osteopenia in Women and Men:** The ACP guideline also addresses the complexities of treating women with osteopenia, and it recommends engaging women 65 years of age or older who are at a high risk for fracture (e.g. T-score between -2.0 and -2.5) in a discussion of risks and benefits of treatment, taking into account individual patient preferences and fracture risk profile.[110] The National Osteoporosis Foundation more specifically recommends initiating treatment in women and men with osteopenia age 50 years and older with a 10-year hip fracture risk greater than or equal to 3% or a 10-year major osteoporosis-related fracture risk greater than or equal to 20% based on the United States FRAX tool.[109]

Special Considerations for Persons with HIV

Lower bone density is more prevalent among persons with HIV and this may be related to factors that are unique to persons with HIV, including increased inflammation, altered bone metabolism, and toxicities related to antiretroviral medications.[114,115] Initiation of antiretroviral therapy has been associated with a 2 to 6% decrease in bone mineral density during the first 2 years of therapy, which varies with the specific antiretroviral regimen used: tenofovir DF and boosted protease inhibitors, in particular, have been linked to greater loss of bone density compared with other antiretroviral agents.[116,117] In contrast, tenofovir alafenamide does not cause significant loss of bone mineral density.[118,119] The following summarizes recommendations from the HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance and the Recommendations for Evaluation and Management of Bone Disease in HIV.[38,116]

Screening Recommendations for Persons with HIV

- All postmenopausal women with HIV and men 50 years of age and older with HIV should undergo bone mineral density screening with a DXA scan.[38] Bone mineral density should also be assessed with a DXA scan in all adults with HIV who have a major risk factor for fragility fracture, including personal history of fragility fracture, chronic glucocorticoid treatment (greater than or equal to 5 mg of prednisone daily or equivalent for at least 3 months), or high risk of falls.
- In men with HIV 40 to 49 years of age and premenopausal women with HIV 40 years of age and older without a major risk factor for osteoporotic fracture, clinicians should assess fracture risk using the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX Calculation Tool) specific to their country and the patient’s race/ethnicity. Risk assessment should be performed every 2 to 3 years or when a new clinical risk factor develops.
- When using the FRAX tool, some experts recommend checking the “secondary osteoporosis” box to better adjust the estimate considering the increased risk of osteoporosis conferred by HIV. A DXA scan should be performed if the FRAX tool determines the 10-year risk of major osteoporotic fracture to be greater than 10%.
- When interpreting DXA results, use T-scores for postmenopausal women and men age 50 years and older, and use Z-scores for persons younger than 50 years of age.
- Optimal screening intervals (for DXA or FRAX assessment) are not clear for persons with HIV. Consider...
repeat DXA scanning after 1 to 2 years for individuals who have advanced osteopenia (T-score -2.0 to -2.49) and after 5 years in those with mild-to-moderate osteopenia (T-score of -1.01 to -1.99). For those who have a normal DXA, guidance on when to repeat screening is not given.

- Vitamin D screening is recommended in all individuals with low bone mineral density or history of a fragility fracture; it should be considered in persons who have any of the major known risk factors for low vitamin D levels (e.g. dark skin, dietary deficiency, avoidance of sun exposure, malabsorption, obesity, chronic kidney disease, or treatment with regimens containing efavirenz).
- Routine measurement of serum or urine markers of bone turnover or inflammation for screening or treatment monitoring is not recommended for persons with HIV.

**Management Recommendations for Persons with HIV**

- Persons with osteoporosis (or at risk of osteoporosis) should, if possible, avoid tenofovir DF and boosted protease inhibitors.
- Dietary management strategies for high-risk patients should be employed, which include ensuring adequate calcium intake and, if indicated, vitamin D supplementation.
- Vitamin D supplementation should be titrated to a target serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D level of approximately 30 ng/mL or higher.
- Lifestyle modifications for persons with osteopenia or osteoporosis include regular weight-bearing and muscle-strengthening exercises, avoidance of falls, smoking cessation, and reduction in alcohol consumption.

**Additional Evaluation for Persons with HIV**

- For persons with HIV who have osteopenia or osteoporosis, possible treatable secondary causes for decreased bone mineral density should be identified and addressed; these secondary causes include smoking, alcohol use, sedentary lifestyle, low BMI, exposure to medications associated with bone loss (glucocorticoids, phenytoin, proton pump inhibitors, thiazolidinediones), vitamin D deficiency, renal disease, hyperparathyroidism, thyroid disease, and hypogonadism. If the person has osteoporosis and a reversible secondary cause, the underlying cause should be addressed without a bisphosphonate, if possible, and a repeat DXA should be obtained within 1 year.[38]
- It is important to rule out osteomalacia (softening of the bones due to demineralization, which can be caused by tenofovir DF-induced renal phosphate wasting and/or vitamin D deficiency) before treating with bisphosphonates; low vitamin D and calcium supplementation can also blunt the response to bisphosphonates and ideally should precede initiation of bisphosphonate therapy.

**Pharmacotherapy Recommendations**

- In general, the management of osteopenia or osteoporosis in persons with HIV should follow established guidelines for the general population without HIV; several exceptions exist as outlined below.[116]
- In 2017, the American College of Physicians (ACP) released an evidence-based clinical practice guideline titled Treatment of Low Bone Density or Osteoporosis to Prevent Fractures in Men and Women, which recommends initiating pharmacotherapy in women and men with osteoporosis.[110] The guideline also addresses the complexities of treating women with osteopenia, and it recommends engaging women 65 years of age or older who are at a high risk for fracture (i.e. T-score between -2.0 and -2.5) in a discussion of risks and benefits of treatment. The National Osteoporosis Foundation more specifically recommends initiating treatment in women and men with osteopenia 50 years of age and older who have an estimated 10-year hip fracture risk greater than or equal to 3%, or a 10-year major osteoporosis-related fracture risk greater than or equal to 20% (based on the FRAX Calculation Tool for persons in the United States).[109]
- When therapy is indicated for persons with HIV at risk for osteoporotic fractures, use of alendronate or zoledronic acid is preferred, since other therapies have not been adequately studied in persons with HIV. This differs from the 2017 ACP guideline, which also includes risedronate and denosumab as
possible initial drugs of choice in the general population.[110]

- Treatment duration should be individualized, though the 2017 ACP guideline recommends discontinuing treatment after 5 years in the general population.[110]

- Bisphosphonates have been associated with adverse effects including esophagitis, osteonecrosis of the jaw, and atypical femoral fractures; patients on these medications should be monitored clinically for these outcomes.[120,121]

- Some experts would repeat DXA scan after 3 to 5 years of pharmacotherpay, and in individuals with worsening bone mineral density, a new fracture, greater than 1 cm of height loss, or poor adherence to oral bisphosphonate therapy, alternate treatment including intravenous zoledronic acid or teriparatide could be considered, though data are limited with teriparatide and other osteoporosis pharmacotherapies in persons with HIV.

- Individuals receiving bisphosphonates with evidence of worsening bone mineral density, new fractures, suspected osteomalacia, or intolerance of treatment may benefit from referral to a bone health specialist.

**Drug Interactions**

Drug interactions are not expected with concurrent bisphosphonate and antiretroviral therapy, but caution should be used if calcium supplementation is administered in the form of an antacid such as calcium carbonate, as polyvalent cations can interfere with absorption of atazanavir, bictegravir, dolutegravir, elvitegravir, and rilpivirine.[39,64,65] Calcium-containing antacids must be separated from some antiretroviral medications, and prescribing information for the specific antiretroviral medication should be followed.[64]
Testosterone Deficiency

Overview

In the male adult population in the United States, testosterone deficiency is common, occurring in approximately 10% of males 18 years of age and older.\[122\] In the United States and United Kingdom, trends from 2000-2011 showed that initiation of testosterone therapy increased, despite steady rates in testosterone testing frequency and in laboratory-diagnosed testosterone deficiency.\[122\]

Testosterone Screening Guidance

The 2018 Endocrine Society Testosterone Therapy Guidelines provides recommendations for testosterone deficiency screening, which are summarized as follows.\[123\]

Indications for Testosterone Screening

A laboratory workup for testosterone deficiency should only be performed if the person under evaluation has signs or symptoms that suggest testosterone deficiency.\[123\] Symptoms suggestive of testosterone deficiency include decrease in libido, infrequent spontaneous erections, erectile dysfunction, fatigue, or depression; signs suggestive of testosterone deficiency include gynecomastia, loss of pubic hair, small testes, low bone mineral density, decreased muscle mass, or incomplete or delayed sexual development.\[123\] The 2018 Endocrine Society Testosterone Therapy Guidelines recommends against routine screening of men in the general population for hypogonadism.\[123\]

Optimizing Laboratory Screening for Testosterone Deficiency

- The most accurate testosterone measurements are those obtained in the morning, ideally between 8 and 10 A.M., as testosterone levels peak in the morning and tend to wane over the course of the day.
- A fasting blood draw is ideal, as food intake or glucose may suppress testosterone concentrations, leading to falsely decreased testosterone levels.
- In persons with a condition that can alter sex-hormone binding globulin, a free testosterone level should be obtained, in addition to a total testosterone level.
- The testosterone assay used should be one that has been certified with an accuracy-based standardization or quality control program.
- A testosterone level should not be checked during an acute illness or in those who are taking certain medications (e.g. opioids or anabolic steroids) that may suppress testosterone concentrations.

Confirming a Low Testosterone Screening Result

If a fasting, morning testosterone level is low, this should be repeated and confirmed. A laboratory diagnosis of testosterone deficiency is made when a person has two documented decreased, morning, fasting serum testosterone levels. Since low testosterone concentrations often occur without clinical symptoms or signs of testosterone deficiency, a low testosterone level alone does not establish a clinical diagnosis of hypogonadism.\[123\]

Recommendations for Testosterone Therapy

The 2018 Endocrine Society Testosterone Therapy Guidelines provides recommendations for testosterone therapy for individuals with documented testosterone deficiency, which are summarized as follows.\[123\]

Indications to Start Testosterone Therapy

If an individual under evaluation has had two decreased, morning, fasting serum testosterone levels and has
signs or symptoms consistent with androgen deficiency (as outlined above), then testosterone therapy is indicated if, after a discussion with the individual that addresses benefits and potential adverse effects, such as erythrocytosis and cardiovascular events, the individual agrees.\[124\] In addition, prior to initiating testosterone therapy, the clinician should determine whether any contraindications exist for testosterone therapy.

**Baseline Evaluation Prior to Initiating Testosterone Therapy**

- A luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle hormone (FSH) level should be obtained to distinguish between primary (testicular) and secondary (pituitary-hypothalamic) hypogonadism. An elevated LH and FSH suggest primary hypogonadism, whereas a low or inappropriately normal LH and FSH suggest secondary hypogonadism.
- A hematocrit should be obtained.
- In hypogonadal men 40 years of age and older at high risk for prostate cancer, a prostate specific antigen (PSA) and digital rectal examination should be offered.
- The patient’s past medical history or problem list should be reviewed to rule out any contraindicating conditions.

**Contraindications for Testosterone Therapy**

Testosterone therapy should not be given to persons who have any of the following listed disorders:

- Breast or prostate cancer
- A palpable prostate nodule or induration
- Prostate PSA greater than 4 ng/mL, or a PSA level greater than 3 ng/mL in men at increased risk of prostate cancer who have not undergone urological evaluation
- Elevated hematocrit greater than 48% (greater than 50% for those living at high altitude)
- Untreated severe obstructive sleep apnea
- Severe obstructive lower urinary tract symptoms
- Uncontrolled heart failure
- Myocardial infarction or stroke within the last 6 months
- Thrombophilia
- Those planning fertility in the near term

**Initiating Testosterone Therapy**

If the above criteria are met, and the patient and provider decide to initiate testosterone replacement therapy, the 2018 Endocrine Society Testosterone Therapy Guidelines recommend initiating testosterone replacement therapy using any of the suggested regimens based on personal preference, pharmacokinetics of the formulation, treatment burden, and cost. The FDA-approved testosterone replacement therapy options in the United States include intramuscular injection, transdermal gel, transdermal patch, an axillary solution, a buccal bioadhesive tablet, pellets, or a nasal gel.\[123\] Of note, testosterone is a controlled substance.

**Monitoring After Initiation of Testosterone Replacement Therapy**

- Monitoring to assess symptom response should take place 3 to 12 months after treatment initiation and then annually thereafter.
- Laboratory monitoring of testosterone concentrations should take place 3 to 6 months after initiation of testosterone replacement therapy, with the aim to achieve testosterone concentrations in the mid-normal range.
- Check hematocrit and hemoglobin levels 3 to 6 months after starting treatment and then annually.
- Monitor for prostate cancer risk during the first year after initiating testosterone replacement therapy (including checking a PSA level 3 to 12 months after starting testosterone and continuing with routine prostate cancer screening after 1 year).
Special Considerations in Persons with HIV

The interplay between testosterone deficiency and HIV is complex and has changed over the last several decades as a result of antiretroviral therapy. Available data suggest that men with HIV have a prevalence of testosterone deficiency that is roughly twice as high as in men without HIV.[125,126,127,128]

Screening Recommendations for Persons with HIV

The following summarizes the HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommendations for testosterone screening in persons with HIV.[38]

- Screening for testosterone deficiency in men with HIV should only be performed if the individual has symptoms of testosterone deficiency.
- The laboratory evaluation for testosterone deficiency should include a total testosterone level and a free testosterone level; the rationale for obtaining a free testosterone level is that HIV is associated with increased sex hormone binding globulin concentrations, which can lead to falsely elevated total testosterone levels.
- Blood samples to evaluate testosterone levels should be obtained in the morning, preferably before 10 a.m.
- All screening samples that are below the limit of normal should be confirmed with a repeat testosterone level.
- If an individual with HIV has low testosterone confirmed on two samples, measurement of LH and FSH should be performed to determine whether they have a primary (testicular) or central (pituitary or hypothalamic) cause for the testosterone deficiency.

Testosterone Replacement Therapy in Persons with HIV

The following summarizes HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommendations for testosterone replacement therapy in cisgender men with HIV.[38] The use of testosterone as gender-affirming masculinizing hormones is discussed in section on Medical Care for Transgender Men in the lesson on HIV in Sexual and Gender Minority Populations.

- **Indications to Start Testosterone Therapy:** For cisgender men, testosterone replacement therapy should be prescribed with caution and only in those with symptomatic hypogonadism, given the potential long-term side effects that can occur with chronic testosterone use, particularly cardiac adverse effects.
- **Testosterone Replacement Therapy:** Persons with HIV should be treated with the same testosterone preparations and doses as in persons without HIV, as outlined above.
- **Monitoring on Testosterone Therapy:** Persons with HIV who are receiving testosterone replacement therapy should have the same monitoring as in persons without HIV, as outlined above.
Tobacco Use and Smoking Cessation

Overview

Tobacco use is a worldwide epidemic and is the leading preventable cause of death, disease, and disability in the United States. Data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicate that about 1 in 5 adults use tobacco products. Tobacco use is a chronic disease and often requires behavioral support, pharmacologic therapy, and multiple attempts to quit. Tobacco use treatments are available and effective, and clinicians should be aware of best practices for counseling and treatment.

Guidelines for Tobacco Cessation

In 2008, the USPSTF released a clinical practice guideline for treating tobacco use and dependence. This clinical guideline summarized effectiveness of pharmacotherapy and concluded that certain pharmacotherapies are more effective than others, certain combinations are more effective than others, and the combination of counseling and medication is more effective than either alone. In 2015 and 2021, the USPSTF released recommendations for Behavioral and Pharmacotherapy Interventions for Tobacco Smoking Cessation in Adults, Including Pregnant People, and these recommendations were updated in 2021. The USPSTF recommends that clinicians ask all adults about tobacco use, advise persons using tobacco to stop, and provide behavioral interventions and FDA-approved pharmacotherapies. In 2018, the American College of Cardiology (ACC) published a decision pathway, incorporating new evidence, for tobacco cessation treatment in adults; the following summarizes key points from the ACC recommendations.

- The 5A’s: ask about tobacco use at every visit, advise all tobacco users to quit, assess willingness to quit, assist the individual in quitting (medications, counseling), and arrange follow-up contact.
- Telephonic tobacco Quitlines may be able to provide intensive tobacco cessation counseling (1-800-QUITNOW). Intensive counseling has been proven more effective than brief intervention.
- Pharmacologic interventions should be offered as a component of smoking cessation programs. There are three main types of medications that have been shown to increase long-term smoking abstinence rates and are recommended for use in smoking cessation: varenicline, nicotine replacement products (gum, inhaler, lozenge, nasal spray, patch), and sustained-release bupropion.
- Within 2 to 4 weeks of a quit attempt, follow-up contact with the individual attempting to quit is recommended, either in person or via telephone or electronic health record portal. This follow-up contact is important for monitoring tobacco cessation treatment, especially since the risk of smoking relapse is high in the immediate period after a quit attempt.
- Evidence regarding the use of electronic nicotine delivery systems (e-cigarettes, vaping) for tobacco cessation is insufficient to make recommendations.
- Evidence is also insufficient to assess the risks versus benefits of pharmacotherapy interventions for tobacco cessation in pregnant women.

Additional Pharmacologic Considerations

Despite early data that raised concerns about the cardiovascular safety of varenicline (and a warning on the package insert about this risk, especially in patients with known cardiovascular disease), a systematic review and meta-analysis concluded in 2016 that these concerns were unfounded; later that year, the FDA removed the varenicline black box warning. After the release of the 2018 ACC guidelines, multiple reports have generated alarming concerns about the safety of vaping, and most experts would now advise extreme caution when considering electronic nicotine delivery systems.

Special Considerations for Persons with HIV

Impact of Smoking in Persons with HIV
Individuals with HIV smoke at approximately twice the rate of those without HIV.\cite{138,139} Among persons with HIV, one study in the United States found no difference in the prevalence of smoking in women versus men.\cite{140} This finding contrasts with the overall United States population, where tobacco use is substantially higher among men than women.\cite{141,142} Smoking is linked to multiple medical problems among individuals with HIV, including major cardiovascular disease, non-AIDS-defining cancers, and bacterial pneumonia.\cite{143}

In the HIV Outpatient Study, a prospective observational cohort study of persons with HIV receiving care since 1993, the attributable risk of incident cardiovascular disease events for tobacco smoking was 26.7%, which was similar to the attributable risk associated with baseline CD4 count less than 500 cells/mm$^3$ and greater than the attributable risks associated with male sex or diabetes.\cite{20}

**Guidance for Smoking Cessation in Persons with HIV**

The major tobacco cessation guidelines do not address smoking cessation in persons with HIV, and the HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance do not provide recommendations for specific interventions related to smoking cessation.\cite{38,130,131,133} In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in France that involved 248 adults with HIV, investigators compared a 3-month course of varenicline in combination with smoking cessation counseling versus placebo with counseling.\cite{144} At 48 weeks following the randomized intervention, a higher proportion of participants in the combination varenicline plus smoking cessation counseling arm had abstained from smoking as compared with the placebo-counseling arm.\cite{144} There are no significant drug interactions between varenicline and antiretroviral therapy, though interactions can occur between bupropion and antiretroviral medications that may result in lower bupropion levels.\cite{40}
Obstructive Lung Disease

Overview

Obstructive lung disease, including both asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), is responsible for substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide, and is a leading reason that patients seek medical care in both office and hospital settings. The burden of chronic lung disease affects patients, their families, and society as a whole through lost work and school, lessened quality of life, avoidable emergency room visits, costly hospitalizations, and death.\[145\] Risk factors for the development of obstructive lung disease include tobacco use, second-hand exposure to smoke, low socioeconomic status, older age, and certain genetic factors.

Current Guidelines

Professional guidelines are available for the management of both asthma and COPD.\[146,147\] The asthma guidelines emphasize the importance of developing an asthma action plan, identifying environmental triggers and barriers to treatment adherence, and using a stepwise approach to controlling asthma through a combination of medications for both long-term control and quick relief. Guidelines for COPD recommend establishing a diagnosis by spirometry and highlight management strategies, including smoking cessation, inhaled therapies (bronchodilators, anticholinergics, long-acting β-agonists, corticosteroids), pulmonary rehabilitation programs, influenza and pneumococcal immunization, and supplemental long-term oxygen in select patients.

Special Considerations for Persons with HIV

Relationship between HIV and Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease

Evidence suggests a possible increased risk of obstructive lung disease in persons with HIV based on studies that have shown a prevalence of obstructive lung disease in persons with HIV of greater than 16% compared to a range of 5.9 to 20.2% in the general population.\[148,149,150,151\] Smoking contributes to this increased risk since individuals with HIV are twice as likely to smoke as those without HIV, but other factors, including high plasma HIV RNA levels, low CD4 cell counts, and more frequent episodes of pneumonia, may also play a role. No HIV-specific guidelines currently exist for the management of obstructive lung disease. Thus, for people with HIV, it is reasonable to follow existing asthma and COPD guidelines that pertain to the general population, as well as to strongly encourage smoking cessation for individuals who smoke.

Complications with Inhaled Corticosteroids

Respiratory medications commonly recommended for obstructive lung disease, particularly inhaled corticosteroids, may pose an increased risk of complications in persons with HIV, including oral candidiasis, bacterial pneumonia, and tuberculosis. In addition, metabolism of some corticosteroids, notably budesonide (inhaled), fluticasone (inhaled), and mometasone (inhaled), is inhibited by ritonavir and cobicistat, and concomitant use of these agents can cause dangerous systemic levels of corticosteroids and induce serious side effects (Cushing’s syndrome and osteoporosis).\[40,150\] Beclomethasone (inhaled) does not have significant drug interactions with ritonavir or cobicistat.\[39,152\] The levels of salmeterol, a long-acting β-agonist, may also significantly increase when used concomitantly with ritonavir or cobicistat, which may increase the risk of salmeterol-associated cardiovascular events.\[40\]


Cancer Screening

Overview

People with HIV have an overall increased risk of cancer (and younger age of onset) compared with the general population.[153,154,155] Research suggests a correlation between HIV-related immunodeficiency and malignancy, possibly through a mechanism of immune dysregulation and decreased immune surveillance; it is now well recognized that a low CD4 cell count increases the risk of malignancy.[156,157] As persons with HIV live longer in the current era of effective antiretroviral therapy, a shift has occurred from predominantly AIDS-defining malignancies to non-AIDS-defining malignancies.[157,158,159,160] Since 2003, the number of non-AIDS-defining malignancies has exceeded the number of AIDS-defining malignancies and consequently, clinicians must be vigilant in surveillance for all forms of malignancy, AIDS-associated or not.

Changing Cancer Epidemiology in Persons with HIV

Data from the CDC and the HIV/AIDS Cancer Match Study showed a sharp increase in non-AIDS-defining cancers among persons with HIV from 1991 through 2005.[159,161] Additional data suggest the trend of increasing non-AIDS-defining cancers will continue, and that by 2030, prostate and lung cancer will be the most common types of cancer in people with HIV.[155] Kaposi’s sarcoma and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (both AIDS-defining malignancies) along with lung cancer (linked to excess tobacco exposure) are currently the most common cancers in persons with HIV.[155,162] In a recent study among individuals with HIV in the United States, half of all excess deaths were due to AIDS-defining cancers (Kaposi’s sarcoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and cervical cancer) and half were due to non-AIDS-defining cancers (lung, anal, liver, oral/pharyngeal cancers); most of these cancers are mediated by viral coinfections.[163] In this same study, there was no excess of colon, breast, or prostate cancer among persons with HIV compared with the general population.[163] Other studies have shown rates of prostate and colorectal cancer similar to or slightly lower than in the general population.[159,164]

Cancer Surveillance

The shifting spectrum of cancer in persons with HIV underscores the importance of incorporating standardized cancer surveillance practices in the care of persons with HIV, including those with relatively preserved immune function. The USPSTF has recommendations for cancer screening, but these are for the general population and not specific to people with HIV. Recommendations regarding screening for malignancies specific to individuals with HIV have also been issued.

Cancer Screening Recommendations Not Impacted by HIV

Breast Cancer Screening

In the United States, breast cancer is the most common cancer in women, regardless of race or ethnicity.[165] Although unusual clinical presentations and more rapid progression of breast cancer have been reported among women with HIV, breast cancer prevalence does not appear to be increased in women with HIV.[166] The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommends that women with HIV follow the same breast cancer screening guidelines as for women without HIV.[38] Nevertheless, there are some differences among professional organizations as to the optimal age of initiation and the frequency of breast cancer screening in women with and without HIV.

- **USPSTF Recommendations for Breast Cancer Screening**: The USPSTF recommends every other year screening mammography for all women aged 50 to 74 years.[167] The decision to start earlier biennial screening (for women 40 to 49 years of age) should be an individual one, with the option to begin screening in women who place a higher value on the potential benefit than the potential harm of breast cancer screening.[167] These recommendations state there is insufficient evidence to
recommend for or against breast cancer screening in women 75 years of age and older.[167] NOTE: On May 9, 2023 the USPSTF issues a new DRAFT Recommendation Statement for Breast Cancer Screening that recommends all women get screened for breast cancer every other year, beginning at 40 years of age and continuing to 74 years of age.

- **American Cancer Society**: For women who have an average risk of breast cancer, the American Cancer Society (ACS) recommends annual mammography screening beginning at age 45 years.[168,169] Women age 40 to 44 years should have the opportunity to begin annual screening.[168] At 55 years of age, women should transition to biannual mammography screening or have the opportunity to begin annual mammography screening.[168] The ACS guidelines recommend that screening mammography should continue in women who have overall good health and a life expectancy of at least 10 years.[168]

**Colon Cancer Screening**

In the general United States population, colon cancer is the fourth most common cancer, accounting for approximately 50,000 deaths per year.[165,170] Although persons with HIV may have a slightly higher risk for developing colon cancer, screening for colon cancer in persons with HIV should not be based on HIV RNA levels or CD4 cell count. The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommends that persons with HIV follow the same colon cancer screening recommendations as for persons without HIV.[38]

- **USPSTF Recommendations for Colorectal Cancer Screening**: The USPSTF recommends screening for colorectal cancer in adults between the ages of 45 and 75 years.[171] Decisions regarding colorectal cancer screening for persons aged 76 to 85 years should be individualized.[171] The USPSTF does not recommend performing routine screening in adults older than 85 years of age.[171] The USPSTF guidelines provide the following screening options, with the ultimate goal to improve screening rates: (1) stool-based tests (e.g., high sensitivity fecal occult blood testing [FOBT]), (2) fecal immunochemical testing (FIT), and FIT-DNA testing, and (3) direct visualization tests (e.g., flexible sigmoidoscopy with or without FIT, colonoscopy, and computed tomographic colonography).[171] The screening interval depends on the screening modality and the results of the screening tests.

- **American Cancer Society**: In 2018, the American Cancer Society issued a guideline update, which recommends screening adults with an average risk of colorectal cancer starting at age 45 years.[172] This is a qualified recommendation (one in which there is clear evidence of benefit but less certainty about the balance of benefits and harms), whereas their recommendation to screen adults aged 50 years and older is a strong recommendation.[172]

- **U.S. Multi-Society Task Force (USMSTF)**: In 2022, the USMSTF on Colorectal Cancer issued recommendations for colorectal cancer screening in the general population.[173] These guidelines recommend initiating screening at 45 years of age in persons at average risk.[173] The 2017 USMSTF guideline ranks the existing colon cancer screening tools (Table 6).[174] In 2012, the USMSTF published updated recommendations for colonoscopy surveillance based on the most advanced findings from the baseline colonoscopy (Table 7).[175,176]

**Lung Cancer Screening**

In the United States, lung cancer is the third most common cancer in men and in women and the leading overall cause of cancer deaths.[165] Increasing age and cumulative exposure to tobacco smoke are cited as the two most important risk factors for lung cancer.[177,178] In persons with HIV, lung cancer is the leading cause of mortality from cancer.[179] Compared to the general population, persons with HIV have higher rates of lung cancer and may have poorer outcomes.[179] The National Lung Screening Trial is a large, multi-center, randomized, controlled trial that randomized participants aged 55 to 74 years at high risk for lung cancer to three annual screenings with either low-dose computed tomography or single-view posteroanterior chest radiography; screening with computed tomography offered a relative reduction in mortality from lung cancer of 20% compared to only 6.4% with chest radiography.[180] In a subsequent analysis of the National Lung Screening Trial with additional follow-up, investigators reported a relative risk reduction of 16%.[181] At
this time, recommendations for lung cancer screening for people with HIV are the same as in the general population and are summarized by the following guidance.

- **USPSTF Recommendations for Lung Cancer Screening**: The USPSTF recommends annual screening with low-dose computed tomography in patients aged 50 to 80 years who have a greater than or equal to 20 pack-year smoking history if they are currently smoking, or they quit smoking within the past 15 years.\[178] Yearly screening should be discontinued if: (1) the person has not smoked for 15 years, or (2) they develop a health problem that limits their life expectancy or their ability or willingness to take part in curative strategies.

**Prostate Cancer Screening**

Men with and without HIV have a similar risk of prostate cancer.\[153,162,164,182] The reduction in prostate cancer mortality achieved with prostate-specific antigen (PSA)-based screening is small, whereas the potential for patients to experience adverse effects from over diagnosis and unnecessary treatment is high. The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance recommends similar screening for prostate cancer in persons with HIV as for those without HIV.\[38]

- **USPSTF Recommendations for Prostate Cancer Screening**: The USPSTF recommends prostate cancer screening should be an individualized decision for men 55 to 69 years of age.\[183] The guidelines note that the three most important risk factors for prostate cancer are: older age, African American race, and family history.\[183] The recommended screening test, if performed, is a measurement of the level of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in the blood.\[183] For men 70 years of age and older, the USPSTF guidelines recommend against routinely screening for prostate cancer.\[183] The USPSTF recommends that prostate cancer screening in transgender persons should be based on the presence or absence of a prostate, not on the person's identified gender.\[183]

**Cancer Screening Recommendations Specific to HIV**

**Cervical Cancer Screening**

Abnormal cervical cytology is nearly 11 times more common among women with HIV compared with the general female population and is associated with the presence of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and immune dysfunction. Cervical cancer screening recommendations for persons with a cervix differ between those with HIV and those without HIV, as outlined in the Adult and Adolescent OI Guidelines.\[184]

- **Age of Onset for Screening**: For people with HIV who have a cervix, initiation of cervical cancer screening is recommended beginning at the age of 21 years.
- **Duration of Cervical Cancer Screening**: Cervical cancer screening should continue throughout the life of people with HIV who have a cervix, as opposed to the recommendation to stop after age 65 in the general population.
- **Cervical Cancer Screening at Entry to HIV Care**: Sexually active persons with HIV who have a cervix should undergo cervical cancer screening at initial entry to HIV care and again 12 months later. The screening test used should be determined by the woman’s age, as reviewed below.
- **Screening in People Younger than 30 Years of Age**: Annual Pap testing is recommended in people with HIV younger than age 30 who have a cervix, but if 3 consecutive annual screens are normal, Pap tests can be performed every 3 years. Co-testing with HPV is not recommended for routine screening in this age group due to high HPV prevalence, but HPV testing can be done reflexively on abnormal Pap results to direct further evaluation.
- **Screening in People 30 Years of Age or Older**: People with HIV who have a cervix and are 30 years of age and older should have either (1) cervical cancer screening by Pap testing alone or (2) Pap testing plus simultaneous HPV co-testing. If Pap testing alone is used, it should be performed at baseline and every 12 months; if the results of 3 consecutive Pap tests are normal, then follow-up testing can occur every 3 years. If Pap and HPV co-testing is performed and both are negative, follow-
up screening can be performed in 3 years.

- **Management of Normal Pap Test and Positive HPV Test Results in People 30 Years of Age or Older**: If the Pap test is normal but HPV co-testing is positive, there are two main options.
  - **Option 1**
    - Follow up test with Pap test and HPV co-testing in 1 year.
    - If the 1-year follow-up Pap test is abnormal, or HPV co-testing is positive, referral to colposcopy is recommended.
  - **Option 2**
    - Perform HPV genotyping.
    - If the HPV genotyping is positive for HPV-16 or HPV-18, colposcopy is recommended.
    - If the HPV genotyping is negative for HPV-16 and HPV-18, repeat the HPV co-testing in 1 year; if the follow-up HPV test is positive or the follow-up Pap test is abnormal, colposcopy is recommended.

- **Management of Abnormal Pap Smear Results**: For any Pap test result of low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) or worse, colposcopy is recommended, regardless of HPV status. If the Pap test demonstrates atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US), HPV co-testing should be performed in women of all ages with HIV. If the HPV test is positive, the woman should be referred for colposcopy. Women with ASC-US in whom HPV testing is negative (or was not done) may be rescreened with Pap smear and reflex HPV test in 6 to 12 months. If the subsequent result is ASC-US or worse, or if the HPV test is positive, referral to colposcopy is indicated. For further management of abnormal screening tests, additional guidelines and algorithms are available through the American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology (ASCCP).[185,186]

- **Screening for Women who have Received HPV Vaccine**: Cervical cancer screening recommendations for women with HIV are not altered if they have received prior HPV vaccination.

## Anal Cancer Screening

Among individuals with HIV, the incidence of anal cancer is increased in relative incidence compared to the general population.[153] The risk of anal cancer in persons with HIV is particularly high among men who have sex with men, with one study estimating 83% excess cases of anal cancer in this group.[162] HPV has been implicated in the pathogenesis of most anal malignancies. Screening for anal cancer and its precursors remains controversial, with recommendations varying between experts.

- **Indications for Anal Pap Testing**: The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance suggests performing anal Pap testing in persons with HIV who have a history of receptive intercourse if access to appropriate referral for follow-up is available.[38] The ANCHOR study prior to incorporating this recommendation; the ANCHOR study is a national randomized clinical trial to determine if treatment of biopsy-proven anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL) reduces the incidence of anal cancer in persons with HIV.[187] Results show that the rate of progression to anal cancer was 57% lower in the treatment group, as compared to the active monitoring group.[188] The implications of the ANCHOR trial for routine anal cancer screening are not clear, as the ANCHOR study focused on the impact of treatment and not on screening. The HIVMA/IDSA Primary Care Guidance includes a recommendation to perform anal Pap smear screening for individuals with HIV who have genital warts or an abnormal cervical Pap test.[38] Note the Adult and Adolescent OI Guidelines section does not recommend for or against anal cancer screening, but does state that screening for anal cancer with anal cytology should not be done without the availability of referral for high resolution anoscopy.[184] These guideline recommendations are currently undergoing revision.

- **Other Modalities for Anal Cancer Screening**: HPV testing is not recommended as part of screening at this time, although an annual digital rectal examination may detect masses associated with anal neoplasm or dysplasia, anal warts, other sexually transmitted infections, and prostate abnormalities and should be considered as part of anal health screening.[184]

- **Management of Abnormal Anal Pap Test Result**: Abnormal anal Pap testing (ASC-US or worse) requires follow-up with high-resolution anoscopy and possible biopsy and treatment.[184] When implementing anal Pap testing in clinical settings, it is critical to establish a follow-up plan and ensure...
access to providers who can deliver these services.
Summary Points

- Cardiovascular diseases are an area of special concern to people with HIV, and cardiovascular risk reduction should be a priority.
- Hypertension in persons with HIV should be managed based on the same guidelines used for people without HIV, except that calcium channel blockers should be avoided with concomitant use of protease inhibitors or cobicistat.
- For people with HIV who are taking suppressive antiretroviral therapy who would benefit from statin therapy, the preferred options are atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, and pitavastatin. Simvastatin and lovastatin should be avoided due to drug interactions with certain antiretroviral medications.
- People with HIV should undergo regular screening for the development of diabetes mellitus.
- People with HIV at increased risk for kidney disease should have routine laboratory monitoring of renal function. The risk of developing renal disease is higher for individuals with a CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm$^3$, elevated HIV RNA levels, Black race, female sex, older age, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension.
- Tenofovir DF carries a risk of nephrotoxicity in persons with HIV that is increased in persons with lower body weight, a lower creatinine clearance at tenofovir DF initiation, or receipt of a protease inhibitor boosted with ritonavir.
- All postmenopausal women and all men 50 years of age and older should receive DXA scans.
- Persons with HIV smoke at twice the rate of those without HIV, and persons with HIV who take antiretroviral therapy and who smoke lose more years of life to smoking than to HIV.

- Among persons with HIV, Kaposi’s sarcoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and lung cancer are the most common cancers. Since 2003, the number of non-AIDS-defining cancers has exceeded the number of AIDS-defining cancers.
- Colon cancer, breast cancer, and prostate cancer screening recommendations are the same for persons with HIV as for the general population. Due to disproportionate risks of developing cervical and anal cancer among individuals with HIV, these cancers warrant different screening protocols.
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Figures

Figure 1 Summary of Three Aspirin Trials for Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease

Figure 2 Kaiser Observational Study (1996-2001): Coronary Heart Disease Hospitalization and Myocardial Infarction

Figure 3 Veterans Aging Cohort: Rates of Acute Myocardial Infarction by HIV Status and Age Group

Figure 4 NHANES-Prevalence of Hypertension Among Adults 18 Years of Age and Older, by Gender and Age Group, 2017-2018

### 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Clinical Practice Guidelines

#### Categories of Blood Pressure for Adults*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Pressure Category</th>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
<th>Diastolic BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&lt;120 mm Hg</td>
<td>&lt;80 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>120 – 129 mm Hg</td>
<td>&lt;80 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension: Stage 1</td>
<td>130 – 139 mm Hg</td>
<td>80 – 89 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension: Stage 2</td>
<td>≥140 mm Hg</td>
<td>≥90 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Individuals with SBP and DBP in 2 different categories should be designated to the higher BP category.

Figure 6 American College of Cardiology-American Heart Association Cholesterol Guidelines: Intensity of Statins

Source: Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018
Figure 7 Impact of Antiretroviral Medications on Lipid Levels

Figure 8 GFR Categories in Chronic Kidney Disease

**Figure 9 Prognosis of Chronic Kidney Disease by GFR and Albuminuria Categories: KIDGO 2012**

Abbreviations: GFR = glomerular filtration rate

Figure 10 Common Laboratory Indicators of Proximal Tubular Dysfunction

Figure 11 Effectiveness and Abstinence Rates for Various Medications at 6 Months after Quitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP Category</th>
<th>Systolic</th>
<th>Diastolic</th>
<th>Treatment or Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&lt;120 mm/Hg</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>&lt;80 mm/Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>120-129 mm/Hg</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>&lt;80 mm/Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension: Stage 1</td>
<td>130-139 mm/Hg</td>
<td>or</td>
<td>80-89 mm/Hg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If risk is less than 10%, start with healthy lifestyle recommendations and reassess in 3 to 6 months
- If risk is greater than 10% or the patient has known clinical cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes mellitus, or chronic kidney disease, recommend lifestyle changes and BP-lowering medication (1 medication); reassess in 1 month for effectiveness
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP Category</th>
<th>Systolic</th>
<th></th>
<th>Diastolic</th>
<th>Treatment or Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension: Stage 2</td>
<td>≥140 mm/Hg or</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥90 mm/Hg</td>
<td>Recommend healthy lifestyle changes and BP-lowering medication (initiate with 2 medications of different classes); reassess in 1 month for effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If goal is met after 1 month, reassess in 3 to 6 months.
- If goal is not met after 1 month, consider different medication or titration.
- Continue monthly follow-up until control is achieved.

If goal is met after 1 month, reassess in 3 to 6 months.
- If goal is not met after 1 month, consider different medication or titration.
- Continue monthly follow-up until control is achieved.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP Category</th>
<th>Systolic</th>
<th></th>
<th>Diastolic</th>
<th>Treatment or Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>consider different medications or titration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Continue monthly follow-up until control is achieved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:

Table 2. 2018 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol

Very High-Risk* of Future Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Recent acute coronary syndrome (within the past 12 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of myocardial infarction (other than recent acute coronary syndrome event listed above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of ischemic stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Symptomatic peripheral arterial disease (history of claudication with ankle brachial index (ABI) &lt;0.85, or previous revascularization or amputation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-Risk Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Age 65 years and older</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of prior coronary artery bypass surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention outside of the major ASCVD event(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chronic kidney disease (eGFR 15-59 mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Current smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Persistently elevated LDL-C (LDL-C ≥100 mg/dL [≥2.6 mmol/L]) despite maximally tolerated statin therapy) and ezetimibe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Very high risk includes a history of multiple major ASCVD events or 1 major ASCVD event and multiple high-risk conditions

Source:
Table 3. 2018 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol

Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) Risk Enhancers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Factors</th>
<th>Lipid/Biomarkers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Family history of premature ASCVD</td>
<td>• Persistently elevated triglycerides (≥175 mg/dL, [≥2.0 mmol/L])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Persistent elevated LDL-C ≥160 mg/dL (≥4.1 mmol/L)</td>
<td>• hs-CRP ≥2.0 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>• Lipoprotein (a) levels &gt;50 mg/dL (or &gt;125 nmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>• apoB≥130 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conditions specific to women (e.g. preeclampsia, premature menopause)</td>
<td>• Current smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inflammatory Diseases (especially rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, HIV)</td>
<td>• Ankle-brachial index (ABI) &lt;0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ethnicity (e.g. South Asian ancestry)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; LDL-C = low density lipoprotein cholesterol; hs-CRP = high sensitivity C-reactive protein

Source:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for Testing for Diabetes or Prediabetes in Asymptomatic Adults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Testing should be considered in overweight or obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m² or ≥23 kg/m² in Asian Americans) adults who have one or more of the following risk factors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- First-degree relative with diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High-risk race/ethnicity (e.g. African American, Latino, Native American, Asian American, Pacific Islander)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- History of cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hypertension (≥140/90 mmHg or on therapy for hypertension)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- HDL cholesterol level &lt;35 mg/dL (0.90 mmol/L) and/or a triglyceride level &gt;250 mg/dL (2.82 mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Women with polycystic ovary syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Physical inactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other clinical conditions associated with insulin resistance (e.g., severe obesity, acanthosis nigricans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patients with prediabetes (HbA1c ≥5.7% [39 mmol/mol], impaired glucose tolerance, or impaired fasting glucose) should be tested yearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Women who were diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus should have lifelong testing at least every 3 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. For all other patients, testing should begin at 35 years of age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If results are normal, testing should be repeated at a minimum of 3-year intervals, with consideration of more frequent testing depending on initial results and risk status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. People with HIV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:

Table 5. 2018 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on Tobacco Cessation Treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug (doses)</th>
<th>How Sold (U.S.)</th>
<th>Dosing Instructions</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Common Side Effects</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine patch</td>
<td>OTC or Rx</td>
<td>Starting dose: 21 mg for ≥10 cigarettes per day.</td>
<td>Apply a new patch each morning to dry skin.</td>
<td>Skin irritation</td>
<td>Trouble sleeping</td>
<td>The easiest nicotine product to use. User cannot alter dose if cravings occur during the day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 mg for &lt;10 cigarettes per day.</td>
<td>Rotate application site to avoid skin irritation.</td>
<td>Vivid dreams (patch can be removed at bedtime to manage insomnia or vivid dreams)</td>
<td>Provides a steady nicotine level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 mg for &lt;10 cigarettes per day.</td>
<td>May start patch before or on quit date.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Combination NRT therapy: as needed, can add gum, lozenge, inhaler, or nasal spray to patch to cover situational cravings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use ≥3 months. After 6 weeks, option to taper to lower doses for 2-6 weeks.</td>
<td>Keep using even if a slip occurs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If insomnia or disturbing dreams, remove patch at bedtime.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine lozenge</td>
<td>OTC or Rx</td>
<td>If first cigarette is ≤30 minutes of waking: 4 mg.</td>
<td>Place between gum and cheek, let it melt slowly. Use 1 piece every 1-2 hours (Max: 20/day).</td>
<td>Mouth irritation</td>
<td>Hiccups</td>
<td>No food or drink 15 minutes prior to use and during use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td>If first cigarette is &gt;30 minutes of waking: 2 mg.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Heartburn</td>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use ≥3 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May be added to patch to cover situational cravings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine gum</td>
<td>OTC or Rx</td>
<td>If first cigarette is ≤30 minutes of waking: 4</td>
<td>Chew briefly until mouth tingles, then</td>
<td>Mouth irritation</td>
<td>Jaw soreness</td>
<td>Not chewed in same way as regular gum;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>User controls nicotine dose.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral substitute for cigarettes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Easier to use than gum for those with dental work or dentures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug (doses)</td>
<td>How Sold (U.S.)</td>
<td>Dosing Instructions</td>
<td>Administration Instructions</td>
<td>Common Side Effects</td>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg.</td>
<td>‘park’ gum inside cheek until tingle fades. Repeat chew-and-park each time tingle fades. Discard gum after 30 minutes of use. Use ~ 1 piece per hour (Max: 24/day).</td>
<td>Heartburn, Hiccups, Nausea</td>
<td>for cigarettes. May be added to patch to cover situational cravings.</td>
<td>requires careful instruction. Can damage dental work and be difficult to use with dentures. No food or drink 15 minutes prior to use and during use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine inhaler 10-mg cartridge</td>
<td>Rx only</td>
<td>10 mg/cartridge. Each cartridge has ~80 puffs. Use ≥3 months.</td>
<td>Puff into mouth/throat until cravings subside. Do not inhale into lungs. Change cartridge when nicotine taste disappears. Use 1 cartridge every 1-2 hours (Max: 16/day).</td>
<td>Mouth and throat irritation, Coughing if inhaled too deeply</td>
<td>User controls nicotine dose. Mimics hand-to-mouth ritual of smoking cigarettes. May be added to patch to cover situational cravings.</td>
<td>Frequent puffing required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine nasal spray 10 mg/mL (10 mL bottle)</td>
<td>Rx only</td>
<td>10 mg/mL. 0.5 mg per spray. Each bottle has ~200 sprays. Use ≥3 months.</td>
<td>Use 1 spray to each nostril. Use spray every 1-2 hours (Max: 80/day).</td>
<td>Nasal and throat irritation, Rhinitis, Sneezing, Coughing, Tearing</td>
<td>User controls nicotine dose. Most rapid delivery of nicotine among all NRT products. May be added to patch to cover situational cravings.</td>
<td>Has the most side effects of all NRT products. Some users cannot tolerate local irritation to nasal mucosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varenicline (tablet) 0.5 mg 1.0 mg</td>
<td>Rx only</td>
<td>Days 1-3: 0.5 mg/day. Days 4-7: 0.5 mg twice a day.</td>
<td>Start 1-4 weeks before quit date. Take with food and a tall glass</td>
<td>Nausea, Insomnia, Vivid dreams</td>
<td>Quit date can be flexible, from 1 week to 3 months after starting drug.</td>
<td>Because of previous FDA warning (now removed), many patients fear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug (doses)</td>
<td>How Sold (U.S.)</td>
<td>Dosing Instructions</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Common Side Effects</td>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 8+: 1 mg twice a day. Use 3-6 months.</td>
<td>of water to minimize nausea.</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Dual action: relieves nicotine withdrawal and blocks reward of smoking. Oral agent (pill).</td>
<td>psychiatric adverse events, even though they are no more common than with other cessation medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion sustained release (SR) (tablet) 150 mg</td>
<td>Rx only</td>
<td>150 mg/day for 3 days, then 150 mg twice a day. Use 3-6 months.</td>
<td>Start 1-2 weeks before quit date.</td>
<td>Insomnia Agitation Dry mouth Headache</td>
<td>May lessen post-cessation weight gain while drug is being taken. Oral agent (pill).</td>
<td>Increases seizure risk: not for use if seizure disorder or binge drinking.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All are FDA-approved as smoking cessation aids and listed as a first-line medication by U.S. Clinical Practice Guidelines (Fiore, 2008)

+ Recommended duration of use for medications is at least 3 months but extending dose to 6 months is frequently done to prevent relapse to tobacco use. Patching dosing differs slightly from FDA labeling.

Abbreviations: FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; NRT = nicotine replacement therapy; OTC = over the counter (no prescription required); Rx = prescription required.

Source:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colonoscopy every 10 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual fecal immunochemical test (FIT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT colonography every 5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIT-fecal DNA every 3 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years (or every 5 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capsule colonoscopy every 5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Available Tests Not Currently Recommended**

- Septin 9

Source:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Colonoscopy: Most Advanced Finding(s)</th>
<th>Recommended Surveillance Interval</th>
<th>Strength of Recommendation</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>10 years&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 tubular adenomas &lt;10 mm</td>
<td>7-10 years&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 tubular adenomas &lt;10 mm</td>
<td>3-5 years</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 tubular adenomas &lt;10 mm</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma &gt;10 mm</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma with tubulovillous or villous histology</td>
<td>3 years&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma with high-grade dysplasia</td>
<td>3 years&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 adenomas on single examination&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piecemeal resection of adenoma ≥20 mm</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>All recommendations assume examination complete to cecum with bowel preparation adequate to detect lesions >5 mm in size; recommendations do not apply to individuals with a hereditary CRC syndrome, personal history of inflammatory bowel disease, personal history of hereditary cancer syndrome, serrated polyposis syndrome, malignant polyp, personal history of CRC, or family history of CRC, and must be judiciously applied to such individuals, favoring the shortest indicated interval based on either history or polyp findings.

<sup>b</sup>Follow-up may be with colonoscopy or other screening modality for average-risk individuals.

<sup>c</sup>Patients with recommendations issued before 2020 for shorter than 7- to 10-year follow-up after diagnosis of 1-2 tubular adenomas may follow original recommendations. If feasible, physicians may re-evaluate patients previously recommended an interval shorter than 10 y and reasonably choose to provide an updated recommendation for 7- to 10-year follow-up, taking into account factors such as quality of baseline examination, polyp history, and patient preferences.

<sup>d</sup>Assumes high confidence of complete resection.

<sup>e</sup>Patients with >10 adenomas or lifetime >10 cumulative adenomas may need to be considered for genetic testing based on absolute/cumulative adenoma number, patient age, and other factors such as family history of CRC (see text).

<sup>f</sup>See US Multi-Society Task Force recommendations for endoscopic removal of colorectal lesions.

Source:
