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Background

The availability of highly effective antiretroviral therapy in the mid-1990s transformed HIV from a fatal infection to a manageable, chronic disease. Persons living with HIV infection who take modern combination antiretroviral therapy significantly reduce morbidity and mortality associated with HIV infection,[1,2,3] as well as lower their risk of transmitting HIV to others.[4,5,6] Several decades of research have resulted in the development of an array of antiretroviral medications that target multiple specific points in the HIV life cycle (Figure 1).[7,8] The United States Food and Drug Administration has approved medications in five different classes to treat HIV infection: entry inhibitors, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, integrase strand transfer inhibitors, and protease inhibitors. In addition, as of November 2018, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration had approved 11 single-tablet regimens for HIV treatment. This Topic Review will summarize the mechanism of action of antiretroviral medications, indications for antiretroviral therapy, and recommended antiretroviral regimens for treatment-naïve individuals. Separate Topic Reviews will address issues related to antiretroviral therapy, including adverse effects, drug interactions, simplification or switching therapy, assessment of drug resistance, and management virologic failure. In addition, detailed information for each antiretroviral medication and for all fixed-dose combinations is available in the Antiretroviral Medication section of this web site.
HIV Life Cycle and Antiretroviral Drug Targets

Understanding the basic HIV life cycle is the foundation for understanding the mechanism of action of the different classes of antiretroviral medications. The following discussion will focus on key HIV enzymes and relevant steps in the HIV life cycle related to HIV antiretroviral therapy. The Howard Hughes Medical Institute has produced an excellent HIV Life Cycle video (below) that summarizes the key steps in the HIV life cycle.

HIV Entry and Entry Inhibitors

HIV Envelope

The initial step in the HIV life cycle involves a complex interaction between HIV envelope spikes and host surface proteins; this interaction is a prerequisite for the major functional components of HIV to gain entrance into the host cell. The HIV envelope is synthesized from the env gene as a glycoprotein (gp) 160 polyprotein precursor, which is subsequently processed by a host cellular protease to form the two structural envelope components: surface envelope glycoprotein (gp120) and transmembrane envelope glycoprotein (gp41) (Figure 2).[9] The surface of HIV is studded with approximately 14 envelope spikes, with each spike consisting of a trimer of three gp120 and gp41 subunits (Figure 3).[10,11] The gp120 protein has approximately 480 amino acids and is structurally arranged with an outer domain, inner domain, and a bridging sheet. The gp120 subunit is the component of the envelop that interacts with the host receptors and coreceptors; these interactions involve the gp120 CD4 binding site and on the outermost surface of gp120 and the more internal variable 3 (V3) region. The gp120 V3 region plays a major role in determining the co-receptor tropism of HIV. The surface of the gp120 is coated with 80-90 glycans that make up an immunoprotective glycan shield. The gp41 subunit has approximately 345 amino acids divided into three domain: ectodomain (in the extracellular region), the transmembrane domain (spans the HIV membrane), and the cytoplasmic tail (inside the HIV membrane).[12,13,14] The gp41 ectodomain has several functional components that include the N-terminal hydrophic region (functions as the fusion peptide) and the N-terminal heptad repeat region 1 (HR1) and the heptad repeat region 2 (HR2). Prior to cell binding, the HIV gp41 exist in a conformation in which the gp41 folds back on itself in an energy loaded state.

HIV Entry

The HIV entry process involves a sequential and coordinated interaction between the virus and the host cell that includes four key steps: (1) attachment of HIV with the host cell, (2) HIV gp120-host CD4 binding, (3) HIV gp120-host chemokine coreceptor binding, and (4) fusion of HIV with the host surface membrane.[9,15] After initial attachment to the host cell via non-specific interactions, HIV gp120 interacts with the host CD4 surface receptor, with binding occurring at the CD4 binding pocket on the HIV gp120 and the extracellular domain 1 (D1) of CD4.[16] Following the CD4 binding, the gp120 undergoes rearrangement, with formation of a bridging sheet and repositioning of the V3 loop. This rearrangement allows the HIV gp120 V3 loop to interact with a host chemokine coreceptor (CC), either the CCR5 or CXCR4 coreceptor (Figure 4). The HIV coreceptor binding (CCR5 or CXCR4) depends on the HIV subtype (R5 or X4), which is determined primarily by the HIV gp120 V3 region. The HIV gp120-coreceptor interaction is believed to activate the gp41 fusion machinery, which initially triggers the initial insertion of the gp41 fusion peptide into the host cell membrane.[9,16] Next, each of the the three gp41 in the trimer undergo a hairpin-like fold, resulting in the formation of a six-bundle helix central coil in which the HR1 and HR2 domains mesh along a series of grooves. In the process of forming this hairpin-like coiled bundle, the HIV and host membranes are pulled toward each other, generating the necessary momentum for the formation of a fusion pore and HIV-host cell membrane fusion.[9,16]

HIV Entry Inhibitors
The FDA-approved HIV entry inhibitors includes three subclasses: (1) CD4 postattachment inhibitor, (2) CCR5 coreceptor antagonists, and (3) fusion inhibitors; each one of these subclasses of entry inhibitors has one FDA-approved drug.[17,18]

- **CD4 Postattachment Inhibitor**: The early interaction of HIV and the host cell involves binding of the host CD4 receptor and the HIV gp120 envelope. The initial attachment occurs with the domain 1 region of the host CD4 receptor and the HIV gp120 binding site. The humanized monoclonal antibody ibalizumab binds to the domain 2 region of the host CD4 receptor and through steric hindrance it prevents the normal structural shifts that occur in gp120 that result in gp120-coreceptor binding (Figure 5); the net effect of ibalizumab is prevention of viral entry.[19,20] Ibalizumab does not block the initial attachment of CD4 domain 1 and HIV gp120. In addition, ibalizumab is not thought to interfere with CD4-mediated immune function since it does not interfere with CD4 binding of MHC class II molecules, which occurs at the CD4 domain 1 region.

- **CCR5 Receptor Antagonists**: The appropriate use of CCR5 antagonists depends on knowledge of the patient's HIV subtype. The HIV subtype that binds to the CCR5 coreceptor is known as R5 HIV (or CCR5-tropic HIV) whereas the X4 HIV (or subtype CXCR4-tropic HIV) binds to the CXCR4 coreceptor; subtypes of HIV that are capable of binding to either the CCR5 or CXCR4 coreceptor are known as dual-tropic HIV.[21,22] Patients with a detectable mixture of R5 and X4 HIV are considered to have mixed-tropic HIV. The CCR5 antagonists exert their mechanism of action by binding to the CCR5 coreceptor, causing a conformational change in the coreceptor that prevents the HIV gp120 from binding with the CCR5 coreceptor (Figure 6).[21,23] In contrast, the CCR5 antagonists do not block the viral entry of X4, dual tropic, or mixed tropic HIV. The drug maraviroc is the only FDA-approved CCR5 antagonist and it is recommended for use in antiretroviral treatment-experienced patients only if they have documented pure R5 HIV (CCR5-tropic HIV); patients with X4, dual tropic, or mixed tropic HIV should not receive maraviroc. Accordingly, prior to starting a patient on maraviroc, an HIV coreceptor tropism assay should be performed to determine whether the patient has pure R5 HIV; the co-receptor tropism assay reports on the presence of R5, X4, and dual/mixed (the reports do not differentiate dual and mixed isolates).[21,23,24].

- **Fusion Inhibitor**: In the normal fusion process, the HIV gp41 heptad repeat region 2 folds back on the heptad repeat region 1, in essence zipping up the gp41. This process pulls the HIV and host membranes together and results in the fusion of the viral and host membranes. The fusion inhibitor enfuvirtide is a 36-amino acid synthetic peptide that corresponds to a 36-amino acid segment in the HIV gp41 heptad repeat region 2 (Figure 7); the enfuvirtide peptide binds to the heptad repeat region 1 of gp41, thus preventing the normal interaction and folding of the gp41 heptad repeat regions 1 and 2.[22,25,26] Enfuvirtide is the only FDA-approved fusion inhibitor. Unique among the antiretroviral agents, the route of enfuvirtide delivery is subcutaneous injection.

**Reverse Transcription and Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**

**HIV Reverse Transcriptase**

The HIV reverse transcriptase enzyme is the enzyme involved in the critical HIV reverse transcription process. The HIV reverse transcriptase enzyme is a heterodimer consisting of the p66 and p51 subunits; the p66 and p51 subunit are 560 and 440 amino acids in length respectively, with the 440 amino acids of p51 overlapping with the first 440 amino acids of the p66 subunit (Figure 8).[27] The p66 subunit, which primarily has a catalytic role, is comprised of the polymerase and RNase H domains. Conceptually, the polymerase domain is structurally analogous to a human right hand, with specific regions corresponding to fingers, palm, and thumb. The p51 subunit functions has a structural role and it is very closely related to, but not identical with, the polymerase domain of the p66 subunit. Each HIV-1 virion contains approximately 50 reverse transcriptase enzymes.[27]

**Reverse Transcription**
The HIV reverse transcription process is a multi-step complicated process that results in a copy of linear, double-strand HIV DNA being generated from single strand HIV RNA. Each HIV-1 virion contains two copies of plus-stranded genomic RNA. Conceptually, the key steps in reverse transcription are the conversion of single strand HIV RNA to a single strand of HIV DNA, followed by digestion of the HIV RNA, and finishing with the formation of double-strand HIV DNA from the single strand of HIV DNA. The HIV reverse transcriptase enzyme plays a central role in during reverse transcription and the enzymatic activities that occur involve the polymerase and RNase H active sites, which are both located in the p66 subunit. During this process, the HIV reverse transcriptase polymerase domain functions to add host nucleotides to the expanding strand of DNA whereas the RNase H is digesting unwanted fragments of HIV RNA and HIV DNA. During this process of DNA synthesis, the HIV reverse transcriptase incorporates host nucleotides into to the elongating primer strand, which is forming opposite to the HIV template strand (Figure 9). During the reverse transcriptase process, the finger and thumb regions of the polymerase have dynamic movement that helps to align the host nucleotide into the active site and facilitate incorporation into the elongating DNA strand.[27]

Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

The HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitors include two classes of antiretroviral medications: the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs). Mechanistically, the fundamental difference between these classes is that the NRTIs act as host nucleotide decoys and cause termination of the elongating HIV DNA chain whereas the NNRTIs bind directly to the HIV reverse transcriptase enzyme and inhibit the function of the enzyme.

- **Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**: The NRTIs require intracellular phosphorylation to obtain an active state. Once in a triphosphorylated state, the NRTIs mimic human nucleotides and can be interchangeably taken up by reverse transcriptase. Unlike the human nucleotides, the NRTI medications do not have a 3'-hydroxyl group and additional nucleotides can not be added to the NRTI drug, hence the name chain terminator (Figure 10).

- **Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**: The NNRTIs bind to a hydrophobic pocket in the p66 subunit, which is in close proximity to the active polymerase site (Figure 11).[28, 29] Binding of the NNRTI causes hyperextension of the reverse transcriptase thumb region, which cause a conformational change in this polymerase domain, thereby blocking the process of DNA polymerization, a critical step in HIV reverse transcription.[28, 30] The NNRTI hydrophobic binding pocket region is predominantly lined by amino acid codons 98 to 108 and 179 to 190.[31]

HIV Integration and Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors

**HIV Integrase**

The HIV integrase enzyme is a 288 amino-acid protein that consists of three distinct structural domains: the amino (N)-terminal domain, the catalytic core domain, and the carboxy (C)-terminal domain; the catalytic core domain contains a trio of amino acids that coordinate binding with a divalent metal (either Mg2+ or Mn2+) and this region forms the active catalytic site (Figure 12).[32, 33, 34] The HIV integrase enzyme can exist in the form of a monomer, dimer, tetramer, and possibly higher order forms, such as octomers. Each HIV-1 virion has an estimated 40-100 integrase enzymes.[34]

**HIV Integration**

For replications, retroviruses must integrate the linear, double-stranded HIV DNA formed by reverse transcription into the host DNA. The integration of HIV DNA into host DNA is a multistep process and the HIV enzyme integrase performs two key catalytic reactions: 3’ processing of the HIV DNA and
strand transfer of the HIV DNA into the host DNA.\[33,34,35\] Initially, the HIV integrase (most likely in the dimer form) binds to each end of the newly formed HIV DNA as part of a intracellular nucleoprotein particle known as the preintegration complex (Figure 13).\[33\] The DNA-bound integrase removes two nucleotides on the 3’-ends of the DNA, forming sticky ends that are capable of insertion into a the host DNA.\[35\] The preintegration complex then migrates into the nucleus of the host cell through a nuclear pore. Inside the nucleus, the host protein lens epithelium-derived growth factor (LEDGF)/p75 binds to the preintegration complex and the host DNA, serving as a tethering protein (or bridge) between the preintegration complex and the host DNA.\[33,36\] Next, the strand transfer reaction occurs when the integrase enzyme catalyzes the HIV DNA 3-hydroxyl group attack on the host DNA and the HIV DNA is then inserted into the host DNA. In the final step, cellular enzymes perform DNA gap repair, which smooth over the HIV-host DNA junctions.\[35\]

**Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors**

Multiple potential sites of inhibition exist with the HIV integration process. Currently available HIV integrase inhibitors utilize multiple mechanism to block the integrase strand transfer step and are thus referred to as integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) \[37,38\]. The INSTIs only bind to the integrase enzyme when the integrase enzyme is attached to the viral DNA.\[39\] The diketo acid group of the INSTIs binds to the magnesium ions in the active site of HIV integrase, thereby inhibiting the active site (Figure 14).\[33\] In addition, upon binding to the magnesium ions, the INSTIs displace the HIV DNA 3’-hydroxyl ends, which interrupts the integration process since the 3-hydroxyl ends are the critical nucleophiles during the transfer of the strand of HIV DNA into the host DNA.\[39\] Further, the binding of the INSTI prevents binding of the host DNA with the HIV complex. When the HIV integration process is blocked, the HIV DNA becomes a substrate for host repair enzymes that convert the HIV DNA complex into a byproduct 2-LTR circles.\[35\]

**HIV Protein Processing and HIV Protease inhibitors**

**HIV Protease**

The HIV protease enzyme is a 99-amino acid dimer made up of two identical subunits (Figure 15). This enzyme has a key role in post-transcriptional processing of the Gag (Pr55) and Gag-Pol (Pr160) polyproteins.\[40\] The HIV protease has three major conformational forms: open, semi-open, and closed (Figure 16). The protease enzyme has an active site near the center of the heterodimer and the active site includes two opposed aspartic acid (Asp) residues. Movement from the open to closed causes the flap ends to overlap and functionally act as a molecular scissors.

**Polyprotein Processing and Maturation**

The protease-related polyprotein processing occurs in a consistent sequential pattern. The Gag polyprotein contains four structural proteins: matrix (p17), capsid (p24), nucleocapsid (p7), and p6 proteins. In addition, two spacer peptides are part of Gag, with spacer peptide 1 (p2) interspersed between the capsid and nucleocapsid; the spacer peptide 2 (p1) is positioned between the nucleocapsid and p6 (Figure). During approximately 5-10% of the Gag translation events, a ribosomal frameshift occurs that results in and extended translation to create the Gag-Pol polyprotein.\[41\] The Gag-Pol polyprotein includes the same structural Gag proteins, with the addition of the Pol functional enzymes (protease, reverse transcriptase, and integrase). Each virion contains approximately 5,000 Gag polyproteins.\[42\] The HIV protease initially catalyzes its own release from the the Gal-Pol polyprotein strand. Once the HIV protease is untethered, it processes both the Gag-Pol and the Gag polyproteins. The HIV protease polyprotein processing of the Gag protein occurs in a predictable sequential cascade (Figure 17).\[41,43,44\] The timing of the polyprotein processing occurs late in the HIV replication cycle, typically during and shortly after the virus release from the host cell. The end result is the processing of the Gag and Gag-Pol polyproteins is the release of matrix, capsid, nucleocapsid, p6, protease, reverse transcriptase, and integrase proteins. Although HIV polyprotein processing is not necessary for HIV assembly it is mandatory for morphologic
changes that occur in the late maturation stage and HIV protease is essential for subsequent viral infectivity.\cite{43} The HIV protease enzyme is not involved in the processing of the gp160 envelope precursor protein.

**Protease Inhibitors**

The HIV protease inhibitors are structurally complex molecules that bind to the active site of HIV protease and inhibit the protease enzyme activity (Figure 18).\cite{40,41} The HIV protease inhibitors disrupt the normal Gag and Gag-Pol polyprotein processing, cause arrest of normal maturation process, and prevent infectivity of new cells. The protease inhibitors do not have an impact on cells already infected with HIV (those with proviral DNA integrated into the host DNA).
When to Initiate Antiretroviral Therapy

Recommendations for Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines recommend initiation of antiretroviral therapy for all persons with HIV infection, regardless of CD4 cell count to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with HIV infection and to prevent HIV transmission to others [Table] Panel's Recommendations for Initiating Antiretroviral Therapy in Treatment-Naive Patients.[45] This recommendation is based on the established benefits associated with the use antiretroviral therapy in persons living with HIV infection to (1) reduced AIDS-related disease progression and mortality, (2) lower rates of non-AIDS related morbidity and mortality associated with ongoing viral replication, and (3) decreased HIV transmission.[45]

Data for Clinical Benefit of Antiretroviral Therapy

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines recommendation to initiate antiretroviral therapy in all persons living with HIV to reduce morbidity and mortality is based on multiple cohort studies and clinical trials, as outlined below, that have shown a clear benefit of starting antiretroviral therapy earlier in the course of HIV disease progression.

- **ART Cohort Collaboration**: The ART Cohort Collaboration (ART-CC) followed 12,574 treatment-naïve adults with HIV infection who started three-drug antiretroviral therapy and identified baseline CD4 cell count when starting antiretroviral therapy as the most important prognostic factor for new AIDS-defining illness or death (Figure 19).[46] Although the initial ART-CC used a CD4 count of 350 cells/mm$^3$ or greater as the highest CD4 category, follow-up analysis with this cohort collaboration further stratified the data in the higher CD4 categories and reported a higher rate of progression to AIDS and/or death in patients who deferred therapy in the CD4 count range of 251-350 cells/mm$^3$ compared with those who initiated therapy with a CD4 count between 351-500 cells/mm$^3$.[47]

- **CIPRA-HT-001**: In the CIPRA HT-001 clinical trial conducted in Haiti, investigators randomized participants to start antiretroviral therapy at a CD4 count of 200-350 cells/mm$^3$, or to defer treatment until either their CD4 count decreased to less than 200 cells/mm$^3$ or they developed an AIDS-defining condition.[48] In an interim analysis of the study, participants who began antiretroviral therapy with CD4 counts of 200-350 cells/mm$^3$ had a lower mortality rate and lower incidence of tuberculosis than participants who deferred therapy (Figure 20).[48]

- **Johns Hopkins Cohort**: A study from Johns Hopkins found that among 1,173 adults with HIV treated with antiretroviral therapy, those with a baseline CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm$^3$ had a more rapid 3-year disease progression than those who had a baseline of 201-350 cells/mm$^3$, even when obtaining comparable durable virologic suppression (Figure 21).[49]

- **NA-ACCORD**: The North American AIDS Cohort Collaborative Cohort on Research and Design (NA-ACCORD), an observational analysis including more than 17,000 patients, showed that patients with a CD4 count of 351-500 cells/mm$^3$ who deferred therapy until the CD4 count declined to 350 cells/mm$^3$ or less had a 69% increased risk of death when compared with those who did not defer antiretroviral therapy.[2] In this same study, a parallel analysis involving patients with a CD4 count greater than 500 cells/mm$^3$ found that deferral of therapy until the CD4 count declined to 500 cells/mm$^3$ or less was associated with a 94% increased risk of death when compared with initiation of antiretroviral therapy earlier.[2]

- **SMART**: The multi-national Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) trial randomized more than 5,400 participants with CD4 counts greater than 350 cells/mm$^3$ to receive continuous antiretroviral therapy or to interrupt treatment until the CD4 count decreased to less than 250 cell/mm$^3$. A subgroup analysis, which involved the 249 treatment-naïve participants at enrollment, found a trend of lower risk of serious AIDS- and non-AIDS-related events in the group who initiated therapy immediately when compared with those
who deferred therapy until the CD4 count decreased to less than 250 cells/mm$^3$.\[50\]

- **START**: The Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Therapy (START) study was an multicenter international, trial that examined the benefits and risks of initiating antiretroviral therapy in asymptomatic individuals with HIV infection who have a CD4 count greater than 350 cells/mm$^3$. In this study, the INSIGHT investigators randomized 4685 adults with HIV infection to one of two arms: (1) start antiretroviral therapy immediately (immediate-initiation group) or (2) to defer antiretroviral therapy until the CD4 count decreased to 350 cells/mm$^3$, or the development of AIDS-related condition or another condition that warranted the use of antiretroviral therapy (deferred-initiation group). Comparing the immediate-initiation group with the deferred-initiation group, the hazard ratio for a serious AIDS-related event was 0.28, for a serious non-AIDS event was 0.61, and 0.58 for death from any cause (Figure 22).\[1\]

- **Swiss Cohort Study**: In the Swiss Cohort, investigators compared early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (patients with CD4 counts greater than 350 cells/mm$^3$) versus deferred therapy (patients monitored without therapy) and found an approximate 5-fold decrease in disease progression to AIDS in the subsequent 3-year period among those who initiated therapy (Figure 23).\[51\]

**Data for Antiretroviral Therapy Reducing HIV Transmission**

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines recommendation regarding use of antiretroviral therapy to prevent HIV transmission is based on multiple studies that indicate antiretroviral therapy dramatically lowers the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV and sexual transmission of HIV.

- **PACTG 076**: In the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group study (PACTG) 076, zidovudine given during pregnancy, labor, and to the infant for 6 weeks reduced mother-to-child transmission of HIV by nearly 70%.\[52\] This landmark trial established that perinatal HIV transmission could be markedly reduced with antiretroviral therapy. Multiple other studies have confirmed the overwhelming benefit of providing antiretroviral therapy to pregnant and breastfeeding women to prevent vertical transmission of HIV.

- **HPTN 052**: The most notable treatment-as-prevention trial, HPTN-052, enrolled 1,763 serodiscordant (mostly heterosexual) couples from 9 countries and demonstrated that early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (prior to a decline in CD4 count or the onset of HIV-related symptoms) reduced rates of sexual transmission of HIV to the uninfected partner by 96% when compared with persons who deferred antiretroviral therapy.

- **European PARTNER**: No published randomized studies have specifically focused on treatment as prevention in men who have sex with men or in injection drug users. The European PARTNER study included 40% of the first 1,100 couples enrolled have been homosexual couples.\[6\] Complete results are not anticipated until 2017 but in the first 2 years of the study, there have been no transmissions within any couples from a partner with undetectable HIV RNA levels.

- **Opposites Attract**: The Opposites Attract trial was conducted from 2012-2016 and enrolled 358 HIV-serodifferent male-male couples in Thailand, Brazil, and Australia.\[53\] There were zero cases of HIV transmission from among 12,447 sex acts that involved (1) condomless anal intercourse, (2) the partner with HIV infection was taking antiretroviral therapy and had an HIV RNA less than 200 copies/mL, and (3) the HIV-uninfected partner was not taking preexposure prophylaxis.\[53\]

**Recommendations for Elite Controllers**

In a small percentage of persons naturally control their HIV infection without medications and are considered "elite controllers" of HIV. These individuals have a unique immunologic response to HIV that results in persistent control of plasma HIV RNA levels to levels consistently below the limit of quantitation. These individuals also usually maintain long-term control of CD4 cell count levels above 500 cells/mm$^3$.\[54\] Similarly, a larger, but still small subset of individuals with HIV, referred to as "viremic controllers", have the ability to naturally maintain plasma HIV RNA at very low, but not
The viremic controllers also usually have high CD4 cell counts, but are typically have less stable and lower CD4 cell counts than elite controllers. The optimal antiretroviral management of elite controllers and viremic controllers has generated controversy since, without antiretroviral therapy, these individuals naturally control of HIV RNA levels and theoretically would pose minimal risk of transmitting HIV to others. These individuals, however, may still have increased risk of non-AIDS related morbidity from immune activation and a significant proportion will eventually lose their immunologic control of HIV and have disease progression. The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines make the following key recommendations for "elite controllers":

- Antiretroviral therapy is clearly recommended for elite controllers if they have evidence of HIV disease progression.
- The clinical benefit of antiretroviral therapy in elite controllers who do not have HIV disease progression remains uncertain.
- Elite controllers have increased immune activation and markers for increased risk of atherosclerosis.
- There is a theoretical benefit of giving antiretroviral therapy to elite controllers to reduce immune activation and potential non-AIDS morbidity.
- If antiretroviral therapy is not given to elite controllers, close follow-up should occur since some of these individuals lose their natural control of HIV.
Antiretroviral Regimens for Initial Therapy

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines stratify antiretroviral regimens for initial therapy as (1) Recommended for Most People with HIV, (2) Recommended in Certain Clinical Situations, (3) Other Antiretroviral Regimens for Initial Therapy When Abacavir, Tenofovir alafenamide, and Tenofovir DF Cannot Be Used, and (4) Not Recommended as Initial Therapy.

Dolutegravir Safety Concerns

On May 18, 2018, an FDA Safety Alert was posted that warned of potential serious neural tube birth defects in infants born to mothers who received dolutegravir at the time of becoming pregnant or early in the first trimester. Note that dolutegravir is also a component of two fixed-dose combinations: dolutegravir-abacavir-lamivudine and dolutegravir-rilpivirine. The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines provides detailed information on considering intiation of dolutegravir and other INSTIs as initial therapy (Table 2).

Recommended Initial Regimens for Most People with HIV

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines classify six regimens as Recommended Initial Regimens for Most People with HIV; all of these regimens consist of an INSTI anchor drug in combination with a two-drug NRTI backbone.[58] Prior to starting antiretroviral therapy, HIV drug resistance genotypic testing should be performed to guide the selection of the regimen. The choice of the specific first-line antiretroviral regimen depends on multiple factors, including medical comorbidities, potential drug interactions, and patient preferences (pill burden, frequency of dosing, and requirements to take with or without food). All of the regimens in the category Recommended Initial Regimens for Most People with HIV can be dosed once daily and all can be used without regard to pretreatment HIV RNA level or CD4 cell count.

Recommended Initial Regimens in Certain Clinical Situations

Multiple antiretroviral regimens are available that are effective and tolerable, but are considered inferior to the regimens category listed above. The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines denotes this category as Recommended Initial Regimens in Certain Clinical Situations (Table 4).[58] This category also addresses regimens to consider when abacavir, tenofovir alafenamide, and tenofovir DF cannot be used or are not optimal to use, including several two-drug options: dolutegravir plus lamivudine,[59] ritonavir-boosted darunavir plus raltegravir,[60] and ritonavir-boosted darunavir plus lamivudine.[61]

Antiretroviral Regimens or Components Not Recommended

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines also includes a category for antiretroviral regimens or components that are not recommended as initial therapy (Table 5).[58]
Choosing Specific Antiretroviral Regimen

Factors to Consider for Selecting Initial Regimen

In clinical practice, a number of scenarios arise that warrant consideration for avoiding or using certain regimens. The following summarizes recommendations in the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines.[58]

- **Pretreatment HIV RNA Level**: The following regimens should not be used with pretreatment HIV RNA levels greater than 100,000 copies/mL due to higher rates of virologic failure: rilpivirine-based regimens, abacavir-lamivudine (when used with efavirenz, raltegravir, or ritonavir-boosted atazanavir), and raltegravir plus ritonavir-boosted darunavir.[58]

- **Pretreatment CD4 Cell Count**: Higher rates of virologic failure have occurred when the pretreatment CD4 count is less than 200 cells/mm$^3$ in rilpivirine-based regimens and raltegravir plus ritonavir-boosted darunavir. Accordingly these regimens should not be used in patients who have a pretreatment CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm$^3$.[58]

- **Treatment of Early HIV Infection Before Drug Resistance Results Available**: In the setting where treatment is warranted in persons with early HIV prior to receiving the HIV genotypic drug resistance test results, it is essential to use a regimen that has a high genetic barrier to resistance. The following regimens are recommended in this situation: (1) darunavir (boosted with either ritonavir or cobicistat) plus either tenofovir DF-emtricitabine or tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine, or (2) dolutegravir plus either tenofovir DF-emtricitabine or tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine.[62]

- **Food Requirements**: The NRTI backbone combinations of abacavir-lamivudine, tenofovir DF-emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine can be taken with or without food. The INSTIs raltegravir and dolutegravir can be taken with or without food; the single tablet regimen bictegravir-tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine can also be taken with or without food. Efavirenz should be taken on an empty stomach. The following medications should be taken with food: rilpivirine, atazanavir boosted with either ritonavir or cobicistat, darunavir boosted with either ritonavir or cobicistat, elvitegravir-cobicistat-tenofovir DF-emtricitabine, and elvitegravir-cobicistat-tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine.

- **Pretreatment Chronic Kidney Disease**: For patients who have a pretreatment estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 60 mL/min, any regimen containing tenofovir DF should be avoided. If the eGFR is less than 30 mL/min, any regimen containing tenofovir alafenamide should be avoided.

- **Osteoporosis**: In patients with known osteoporosis, tenofovir DF or any fixed-dose combination that contains tenofovir-DI should be avoided.

- **Preexisting Psychiatric Illness**: Efavirenz, and probably rilpivirine, have been associated with worsening of psychiatric symptoms, and consideration should be given to avoiding these medications in persons with psychiatric illness.

- **Hyperlipidemia**: The INSTI bictegravir, dolutegravir, and raltegravir are considered lipid neutral. Tenofovir DF has a favorable impact on lipids. The following medications often cause dyslipidemia: ritonavir-boosted PIs, cobicistat-containing regimens, and efavirenz.

- **Cardiac QTc Interval Prolongation**: Efavirenz and rilpivirine may prolong QTc interval and thus these medications should be avoided in the patient is taking other medications that may prolong the QTc.

Choosing Anchor Drug in Regimen

The choice of the third drug, commonly referred to as the anchor drug, to combine with a two-drug NRTI backbone for an initial antiretroviral regimen depends on clinical, pharmacologic, and patient-level factors. In the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines, all of the Recommended Initial Regimens for Most People with HIV utilize an INSTI anchor drug; the use of INSTIs as the preferred anchor drug
is based on high efficacy, low adverse effect profile, and minimal drug interactions.[58] In previous years, the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines included the INSTI elvitegravir, protease inhibitors, and/or NNRTIs as the anchor drug in the recommended regimens category; however, due to considerations such as tolerability (atazanavir, efavirenz), limitations to use based on CD4 count and/or viral load (rilpivirine), and lower genetic barrier to resistance (efavirenz, elvitegravir, and rilpivirine), these drugs are now considered alternative regimens.

**INSTIs: Bictegravir versus Dolutegravir versus Elvitegravir versus Raltegravir**

In the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines, three of the INSTIs (bictegravir, dolutegravir, and raltegravir) are included as components of the Recommended Initial Regimens for Most People with HIV.[58] Note that bictegravir is available only as a fixed-dose combination. In the SPRING-2 study, once daily dolutegravir was non-inferior to twice daily raltegravir in treatment-naïve patients with HIV infection.[63] In study 1490, initial therapy with bictegravir-tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitbine showed similar virologic responses as dolutegravir plus tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine.[64] Bictegravir and dolutegravir have emerged the most attractive INSTI-based options based on strong potency, high genetic barrier to resistance, and good tolerability. Raltegravir has excellent potency, but has a lower genetic barrier to resistance than bictegravir or dolutegravir. Elvitegravir was previously available as single INSTI agent, but is now manufactured only as coformulated single-tablets (elvitegravir-cobicistat-tenofovir DF-emtricitabine or elvitegravir-cobicistat-tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine); these fixed-dose regimens are considered less than optimal due to drug interactions with cobicistat and a genetic barrier to resistance with elvitegravir that is lower than with bictegravir or dolutegravir. All four INSTIs are available as once daily dosing.

**PIs: Boosted Darunavir versus Boosted Atazanavir**

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines recommend two NRTIs plus either boosted darunavir or boosted atazanavir as the only protease inhibitor-based options in the category Recommended Initial Regimens in Certain Clinical Situations.[58] In the ARTEMIS trial, initial therapy with a ritonavir-boosted darunavir regimen was superior to a lopinavir-ritonavir regimen, both in terms of virologic suppression and tolerability.[65] In ACTG A5257, initial therapy with a ritonavir-boosted darunavir regimen had similar virologic efficacy to a ritonavir-boosted atazanavir regimen, but more patients discontinued the ritonavir-boosted atazanavir regimen due to adverse events (primarily hyperbilirubinemia).[66] Boosted darunavir and atazanavir can be dosed once daily (with food) and boosting can be achieved with either either ritonavir or cobicistat. Coformulated boosted preparations are available as atazanavir-cobicistat and darunavir-cobicistat. Darunavir may cause rash in patients with a sulfa allergy, but atazanavir often causes hyperbilirubinemia.

**NNRTIs: Doravirine versus Efavirenz versus Rilpivirine**

Multiple regimens that utilize an NNRTI as the anchor drug are available in the category of Recommended Initial Regimens in Certain Clinical Situations; in this category, the NNRTI anchor drugs include doravirine, efavirenz, and rilpivirine.[58] The comparison of efavirenz and rilpivirine was done in the ECHO and THRIVE studies regimens with either efavirenz or rilpivirine had similar percentage of participants with undetectable HIV RNA levels at 48 weeks.[67,68,69] When compared to the efavirenz-based regimen, the rilpivirine-based regimen was better tolerated with fewer adverse events and an fewer lipid abnormalities, but had higher rates of virologic failure in the subset of patients who had baseline HIV RNA levels greater than 100,000 copies/mL. Accordingly, rilpivirine should not be used in persons who have baseline HIV RNA level greater than or equal to 100,000 copies/mL or a CD4 count less than or equal to 200 cells/mm³. In addition, rilpivirine is contraindicated for patients who are taking a proton pump inhibitor. For a patient with uncontrolled or untreated depression, most experts would avoid the use efavirenz, which has a high rate of central nervous system toxicities and an association with increased suicidality. Rilpivirine also causes adverse central nervous system adverse effects in some patients, but to a lesser degree than efavirenz. Doravirine is better tolerated than efavirenz. Unlike rilpivirine, there are no CD4 count or
HIV RNA level restriction for the use of doravirine and doravirine can be used in combination with proton pump inhibitors. The NNRTI etravirine is approved only for use in antiretroviral treatment-experienced persons.

Choosing NRTI Backbone in Regimen

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines include four different NRTI backbone combinations: abacavir-lamivudine, tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine, tenofovir DF-emtricitabine, and tenofovir DF-lamivudine.[58] Abacavir has been associated with increased cardiovascular risk, and although data is conflicting about this association, many experts would avoid abacavir in the setting of known cardiovascular disease risk factors. Tenofovir DF is linked to increased risk of renal dysfunction and loss of bone mineral density; accordingly, tenofovir DF is not recommended for patients with renal disease or osteoporosis. Tenofovir alafenamide has a less favorable lipid profile than tenofovir DF. The following three study summaries compare tenofovir DF and abacavir.

- **ACTG 5202**: The AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) 5202 study examined the relative effectiveness and safety of tenofovir DF-emtricitabine and abacavir-lamivudine NRTI backbones when given with either ritonavir-boosted atazanavir or efavirenz in treatment-naive patients.[70,71] Patients were randomized to one of four regimens: (1) efavirenz plus abacavir-lamivudine, (2) atazanavir boosted with ritonavir plus abacavir-lamivudine, (3) efavirenz plus tenofovir DF-emtricitabine, or (4) atazanavir boosted with ritonavir plus tenofovir DF-emtricitabine. The primary endpoints were time to virologic failure, regimen modification, or safety events. Patients with baseline HIV RNA levels greater than 100,000 copies/mL had a significantly higher rate of virologic failure if they received abacavir-lamivudine when compared with those who received tenofovir DF-emtricitabine, regardless of the third drug they received in the regimen. For those with a baseline HIV RNA level less than 100,000 copies/mL, the time to virologic failure with abacavir-lamivudine and tenofovir DF-emtricitabine was similar. In addition, abacavir-lamivudine was more likely to cause hyperlipidemia.

- **ASSERT**: The ASSERT study compared the NRTI backbone regimen of abacavir-lamivudine versus tenofovir DF-emtricitabine in treatment-naive patients negative for HLA-B*5701.[72] In this open-label study, all 385 patients also received efavirenz. The percentage of participants who achieved an HIV RNA less than 50 copies/mL at week 48 was lower among those treated with abacavir-lamivudine than with tenofovir DF-emtricitabine.  

- **HEAT**: In the HEAT study, investigators enrolled 686 antiretroviral treatment-naive individuals and compared abacavir-lamivudine versus tenofovir DF-emtricitabine when given with lopinavir-ritonavir.[73] Baseline screening for HLA-B*5701 was not performed. At week 48, no significant differences were observed in virologic responses, even among patients with baseline HIV RNA levels of 100,000 copies/mL or greater.[73] Discontinuation due to adverse effects was similar in both groups.
What Not to Use

The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines provides a category for antiretroviral medications which, either alone or in combination, should not be offered for use at any time.[74] Regimens (or components of regimens) that are considered in the What Not to Use category include:

Antiretroviral Drugs Not Recommended

- Delavirdine
- Didanosine
- Indinavir
- Nelfinavir
- Stavudine

Antiretroviral Regimens Not Recommended

- Monotherapy with any antiretroviral medication
- Dual therapy with two NRTIs
- Triple therapy with three NRTIs

Antiretroviral Components Not Recommended

- Atazanavir plus indinavir
- Cobicistat plus ritonavir as pharmacokinetic enhancers
- Didanosine plus stavudine
- Didanosine plus tenofovir DF
- Two NNRTIs in combination
- Emtricitabine plus lamivudine
- Etravirine plus an unboosted protease inhibitor
- Etravirine plus ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir
- Etravirine plus ritonavir-boosted tipranavir
- Nevirapine in women with CD4 count above 250 cells/mm$^3$ or in men with CD4 count above 400 cells/mm$^3$
- Unboosted darunavir, saquinavir, or tipranavir
- Stavudine plus zidovudine,
- Tenofovir alafenamide plus tenofovir DF
Monitoring Response to Antiretroviral Therapy

After initiating antiretroviral therapy, it is essential to monitor the virologic and immunologic response to therapy. The following outlines recommendations in the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines for monitoring HIV RNA levels and CD4 cell counts in persons on antiretroviral therapy.[75,76]

HIV RNA Monitoring

During the first 6 months after starting antiretroviral therapy most individuals will achieve a reduction in HIV RNA levels to less than 50 copies/mL. In some individuals, particularly those with extremely high baseline HIV RNA levels, the time to virologic suppression may extend past 6 months. The important parameter is whether the HIV RNA levels are continuing to decline. In general, INSTI-based regimens cause a more rapid reduction in HIV RNA levels than with NNRTI- or PI-based regimens.[77,78]

- **Baseline**: All individuals initiating antiretroviral therapy should have a baseline HIV RNA level.
- **After Initiating Therapy**: After starting antiretroviral therapy, an HIV RNA level should be obtained preferably within 2-4 weeks and no later than 8 weeks. Subsequently, HIV RNA levels should be repeated thereafter every 4-8 weeks until the HIV RNA is suppressed.
- **After Virologic Suppression**: Once HIV RNA levels are suppressed, the frequency of HIV RNA monitoring should extend to every 3-4 months.
- **With Long-Term Virologic Suppression**: For adherent individuals who have consistently suppressed HIV RNA for at least 2 years (and stable immunologic status), HIV RNA monitoring can be extended to 6-month intervals.
- **Virologic Breakthrough**: In persons on antiretroviral therapy who have virologic breakthrough, monitoring of HIV RNA levels should be adjusted as needed.
- **Change in Clinical Status**: If an individual has a change in clinical status or has to initiate therapy with chronic corticosteroids or chemotherapy the HIV RNA levels should be checked every 3 months.

CD4 Cell Count

Individuals who suppress HIV RNA levels on antiretroviral therapy typically have an increase in CD4 count of approximately 50-150 cells/mm$^3$ after the first year, with subsequent average yearly increases of approximately 50-100 cells/mm$^3$ until a steady state is attained.[79,80]

- **Baseline**: All persons starting on antiretroviral therapy should have a baseline CD4 cell count.
- **After Initiating Therapy**: A repeat CD4 cell count value should be obtained at 3 months after starting therapy.
- **During First 2 Years After Initiating Therapy**: During the first 2 years on antiretroviral therapy the CD4 count should be monitored every 3-6 months.
- **With Long-Term Stable Virologic Suppression**: After 2 years on antiretroviral therapy, adherent patients with consistently suppressed HIV RNA levels should have the frequency of CD4 cell count monitoring determine by immune status: (1) if the CD4 count is less than 300 cells/mm$^3$, monitoring should continue every 3-6 months, (2) if the CD4 count is consistently in the 300-500 cells/mm$^3$ range, monitoring can be extended to 12-month intervals, and (3) if CD4 count consistently greater than 500 cells/mm$^3$, monitoring should then be considered optional.
- **Change in Clinical Status**: If an individual on antiretroviral therapy has a change in clinical status or has to initiate therapy with chronic corticosteroids or chemotherapy, the CD4 cell counts should be checked as indicated clinically.
Poor CD4 Response to Antiretroviral Therapy

After starting antiretroviral therapy, the virologic response is the most important factor in predicting an overall successful treatment outcome. Typically, patients have a brisk increase in CD4 cells in the first 3 to 6 months after starting antiretroviral therapy, predominantly due to a release of memory CD4 cells trapped within lymphoid tissues.[81] In the second phase of CD4 recovery, there is a gradual increase in CD4 counts that continues for 3 to 6 years; this phase involves both naive CD4 cells (from the thymus) and memory CD4 cells. In general, patients with lower nadir CD4 cell counts have lower likelihood of having a high or near normal CD4 count upon recovery following years of antiretroviral therapy (Figure 24).[82,83,84] Approximately one-third of patients who maintain continuous suppression of HIV do not recover their CD4 cell count to a level above 500 cells/mm$^3$ after 5 years.[85] A smaller proportion of patients (approximately 15%) fail to recover their CD4 count at a level greater than 200 cells/mm$^3$ despite virologic suppression. These “discordant” virologic-immunologic responses can generate concern both for patients and clinicians, and low CD4 counts despite HIV RNA suppression on antiretroviral therapy are associated with increased AIDS-related and non-AIDS-related morbidity and mortality; absolute risk goes is greatly reduced, however, after 6 months of viral suppression regardless of CD4 response.[86,87] Unfortunately, no widely accepted definition of immunologic failure exists.

Factors Associated with Poor CD4 Recovery

Investigators have identified multiple factors associated with a poor CD4 count response to antiretroviral therapy: older age, pre-treatment CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm$^3$, hepatitis C coinfection, HIV-2 coinfection, coexistence of other chronic medical conditions, and the use of antiretroviral regimens that contain certain medications, such as zidovudine or the combination of tenofovir DF and didanosine.[86,88] A meta-analysis of different antiretroviral regimens found that ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor-based regimens produced better CD4 cell responses than either unboosted protease inhibitor regimens or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based regimens.[89] In addition, studies have shown greater CD4 count increases in raltegravir- and dolutegravir-based regimens than with efavirenz-based regimens.[78,90] Some medications, such as interferon-alfa, peginterferon-alfa, or chemotherapeutic agents, can significantly reduce the CD4 count, with a gradual return to normal after the medication is discontinued; these types of medications generally do not impact the CD4 percentage nearly as much as the absolute CD4 cell count.

Recommendations for Patients with Persistently Low CD4 Counts

For persons with HIV who have a poor CD4 count recovery (CD4 count remains below 200 cells/mm$^3$ despite having suppressed HIV RNA levels for at least 2 years), there is generally no indication to switch the antiretroviral regimen, unless the person is taking a regimen that includes zidovudine or the combination of tenofovir DF and didanosine.[86] It is important to evaluate whether the individual is taking any medications (not used to treat HIV) that suppress the bone marrow or whether they have clinical manifestations (pancytopenia, systemic symptoms) that may suggest a bone marrow infiltrative process. In addition, individuals who have persistently low CD4 counts should receive appropriate prophylaxis for opportunistic infections, if indicated. Attempt to increase CD4 count by augmentation of the antiretroviral regimen with an additional agent is neither effective nor is it recommended in the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines.[86] Antiretroviral therapy should be continued since multiple studies have shown that achieving a durable virologic response translates into clinical benefit independent of CD4 count.[91] Two large randomized trials (ESPRIT and SILCATT) showed interleukin-2 given to patients with suboptimal CD4 cell count responses causes a significant increase in CD4 cell counts, but the increase was not associated with any clinical benefit (Figure 25) and (Figure 26).[92] Accordingly, use of interleukin-2 is not recommended for the management of persons with suboptimal CD4 count responses to antiretroviral therapy.
**Discontinuation or Treatment Interruption**

Discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy may be necessary at certain times due to acute side effects, illness, surgery that prohibits oral intake, or unavailability of the medications; aside from these factors, individuals living with HIV should not interrupt antiretroviral therapy. Planned treatment interruptions, often referred to as strategic treatment interruptions, at one time were thought to be potentially beneficial to limit long-term antiretroviral therapy toxicity. Subsequently, discontinuation of therapy was shown to be detrimental to overall outcomes, as it allows for viremia, which can cause acute symptoms and illness, and immune decline.

**Data Related to Strategic Treatment Interruptions**

In the Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) trial, investigators randomly assigned patients with CD4 counts greater than 350 cells/mm$^3$ to continuous versus episodic use of antiretroviral therapy (the episodic group waited until the CD4 count decreased to 250 cells/mm$^3$ to start, then stopped when the count reached 350 cells/mm$^3$, and reinitiated therapy if the CD4 count declined to less than 250 cells/mm$^3$).[50] The investigators found that the episodic therapy group had a significant increased risk of opportunistic infection or death (from any cause), when compared with the continuous therapy group. Several other studies have shown that antiretroviral treatment interruption usually results in viral rebound, decreases in CD4 cell count, and eventually clinical progression.[93,94]

**Recommendations**

For patients who require a short-term, temporary discontinuation due to surgery or acute illness, or who make a planned interruption despite advice against interruption, the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines provides specific recommendations for how to safely stop the medications, particularly for patients who are taking medications with significantly different half-lives.[95]
Summary Points

- Five classes of antiretroviral medications, which target specific points of intervention in the multi-step HIV life cycle, have been developed for clinical use: (1) entry inhibitors, (2) NRTIs and NtRTIs, (3) NNRTIs, (4) PIs, and (5) INSTIs.
- The Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines recommend initiation of antiretroviral therapy for all persons living with HIV to reduce disease progression and prevent transmission; this recommendation reflects evidence from clinical trials and cohort studies that have shown the benefits of starting antiretroviral therapy earlier in the course of HIV infection.
- In the Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines, the Recommended Initial Regimens for Most People with HIV consists on an INSTI anchor drug plus a two-drug NRTI backbone. Other effective regimen options are available for use in certain clinical situations.
- The choice of the initial antiretroviral regimen depends on multiple patient factors, including medical and psychiatric comorbidities, patient preferences, and drug interactions.
- After the initiation of antiretroviral therapy, laboratory monitoring is important to measure the HIV RNA response to therapy, to follow the CD4 count, and to monitor for antiretroviral toxicity.
- Virologic response to antiretroviral therapy is the most important factor in predicting an overall successful treatment outcome, and most patients will achieve virologic suppression (HIV RNA below the lower level of detection of the assay, usually less than 20-75 copies/mL, depending on the assay used) within 24 weeks.
- Typically, individuals with HIV have a brisk increase in CD4 cells in the first 3-6 months after starting antiretroviral therapy, although a small proportion of patients fail to recover their CD4 count at a level greater than 200 cells/mm³ despite sustained virologic suppression.
- Scheduled antiretroviral treatment interruption is not recommended since this practice has been linked to an increased risk of opportunistic infection and death.
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Figures

Figure 1 Reproductive Cycle of HIV-1 and Sites of Action of Major Classes of Antiretroviral Medications

Step 1 represents HIV-1 entry into the host cell, which involves the binding of the viral envelope protein, glycoprotein 120 (gp120), to the CD4 molecule, followed by a conformational change in gp120 that allows binding to the chemokine host-cell receptor (e.g., CCR5 or CXCR4). Glycoprotein 41 (gp41), also part of the virus envelope, then mediates HIV-cell fusion to permit viral entry. The fusion inhibitor, enfuvirtide, blocks fusion between the virus (through gp41) and the CD4 molecule, and the CCR5 coreceptor antagonist, maraviroc, blocks viral binding (through gp120) to CCR5. Step 2 is reverse transcription, in which the single-stranded HIV-1 RNA is transcribed into double-stranded DNA by the HIV enzyme (polymerase) called reverse transcriptase. This step is the site of action of nucleoside and nucleotide reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs). Step 3 is the migration of HIV DNA into the nucleus and its integration into the DNA of the host cell, a process catalyzed by the viral enzyme integrase. Integrase strand-transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) target this step. Step 4 is the transcription of the HIV-1 DNA into HIV messenger RNA (mRNA) and HIV genomic RNA. Step 5 is the transport of the HIV-1 RNA out of the nucleus and the translation of HIV-1 mRNA into viral polyproteins. To be functional, the transcribed proteins must be cleaved into smaller component proteins, a process that occurs in step 6 through the action of the HIV-1 enzyme protease. This is the site of action of protease inhibitors. Step 7 is the assembly of viral genomic RNA and viral enzymes (reverse transcriptase, integrase, and protease) into viral particles. Step 8 is the budding and maturation of new viral particles, which then go on to infect other host cells.

Figure 2 HIV Envelope Glycoprotein

Each HIV envelope spike is a trimeric structure, with each trimer comprised of gp120 subunits paired with pg41 subunits. The trimer of heterodimers is arranged in a tripod-like conformation. The gp120 is coated with an immunoprotective glycan shield that helps HIV evade the host immune system.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio
**Figure 3 HIV Envelope Surface Proteins**

Each HIV has approximately 14 irregularly spaced envelope glycoprotein spikes on the surface of the virus. The envelope spikes consists of trimeric structure, with each trimer made by a dimer of the gp120 subunit atop the gp41 subunit.
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Figure 4 (Image Series) - HIV Tropism and Binding to Host Coreceptors (Image Series) - HIV Tropism and Binding to Host Coreceptors
Image 4A: R5-Tropic HIV

In this illustration, the R5-tropic HIV is represented by the blue envelope spikes; the R5 HIV binds to the host CCR5 coreceptor during the viral cell entry process.
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Figure 4 (Image Series) - HIV Tropism and Binding to Host Coreceptors
Image 4B: X4-Tropic HIV

In this illustration, the X4-tropic HIV is represented by the purple envelope spikes; the X4 HIV binds to the host CCR5 coreceptor during the viral cell entry process.
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Figure 4 (Image Series) - HIV Tropism and Binding to Host Coreceptors
Image 4C: Dual-Tropic HIV

In this illustration, the dual-tropic HIV is represented by both blue and purple envelope spikes; the dual-HIV can binds to the host CCR5 or CXCR4 coreceptors during the viral cell entry process.
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Figure 4 (Image Series) - HIV Tropism and Binding to Host Coreceptors
Image 4D: Mixed-Tropic HIV

In this illustration, the mixed-tropic HIV is represented by a mixture of R5-tropic HIV (blue envelope spikes) and X4-tropic HIV (purple envelope spikes); the R5 HIV binds to the CCR5 coreceptor and the X4 HIV binds to the CXCR4 coreceptor.
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Figure 5 Mechanism of Action of CD4 Postattachment Inhibitors

The CD4 postattachment inhibitor ibalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds to the domain 2 region of the human CD4 cell receptor. This binding does not prevent attachment of HIV gp120 with the host CD4 receptor, but, through steric hindrance it prevents normal postbinding conformational changes in gp120 that are required for gp120-coreceptor binding.
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**Figure 6 Mechanism of Action of CCR5 Antagonists**

The CCR5 antagonist maraviroc binds to the host CCR5 coreceptor, rendering a conformational change in the coreceptor, which causes unfavorable binding of the V3 region of gp120 in the R5 strains of HIV.

Illustration by David Spach, MD
The fusion inhibitor enfuvirtide is a 36 amino acid peptide that represents a segment of the HIV gp41 HR2 domain. In the native gp41 configuration, a segment of gp41 folds back on itself and this involves tight coiling of the HR1 and HR2 segments. The drug enfuvirtide mimics the HR2 segment and binds to HR1, thus interfering with the normal HR1 and HR2 interaction.
Reverse transcriptase is a DNA polymerase heterodimer comprised of p66 and p51 subunits. The p66 and p51 subunits are 560 and 440 amino acids in length, respectively. These two subunits share the same first 440 amino acids.
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The p66 subunit is 560 amino acids in length comprised of the polymerase domain (N-terminal 440 amino acids) and the RNase H domain (C-terminal 120 amino acids).
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The p66 subunit contains the active site for polymerase and RNase H. The polymerase active site is located in the palm subdomain of the polymerase domain and RNase H active site is in the RNase H domain.
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Figure 8 (Image Series) - Structure of HIV Reverse Transcriptase
Image 8D: HIV Reverse Transcriptase: Polymerase Domain

The structure of the polymerase domain resembles a right hand and consists of four domains: fingers, palm, thumb, and connection.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
The key function of HIV reverse transcriptase is to convert HIV RNA to HIV DNA. The actual reverse transcriptase process is a multiple-step, highly complicated process that involves polymerase, RNase H, and an RNA-DNA intermediate hybrid.
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Figure 9 (Image Series) - HIV Reverse Transcription
Image 9B: HIV Reverse Transcription and Incorporation of Nucleotides

The HIV reverse transcription process occurs by incorporating human nucleotides into the elongating strand of DNA. Thus, conceptually it is important to understand the building blocks of the HIV RNA and DNA are human in origin.
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Figure 9 (Image Series) - HIV Reverse Transcription
Image 9C: Reverse Transcription: Primer and Template Strands

The reverse transcriptase, similar to other DNA polymerase enzymes, utilizes both a primer and a template. This simplified depiction shows the HIV RNA genome serving as the template and strand functioning as the primer where new nucleotides are added.
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The nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, in their triphosphate form, mimic the host nucleotides that are incorporated into the elongating strand of DNA. The host cellular kinases convert the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors to their active triphosphate form. Once in their active form the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors compete with human nucleotides for a spot in the elongating DNA chain.

Illustration by David Spach, MD
After the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors become activated to a triphosphate form, they can compete with human nucleotides to be incorporated into the elongating DNA chain.
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**Figure 10 (Image Series) - Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors: Mechanism of Action**  
**Image 10C: Primer Blocking**

The incorporation of the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor into the elongating strand of DNA is referred to as primer blocking.

Illustration by David Spach, MD
All of the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors approved to treat HIV lack a 3'-hydroxyl component and thus additional nucleotides cannot be linked to the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. The nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors thus act as chain terminators when incorporated into the viral DNA by the HIV reverse transcriptase.

Illustration by David Spach, MD
The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors work by directly binding to the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors binding pocket region, a region in the polymerase domain proximal to the polymerase active site. This binding has a directly impedes the function of the reverse transcriptase enzyme.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
The functional impact of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor binding to reverse transcriptase is likely multi-factorial, and not entirely understood; one proposed mechanism suggests that is that non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor binding results in a locked hyperextension of the polymerase thumb region (and possibly also the fingers region). This conformational change is believed to alter the polymerase binding site, impact the functional role of the reverse transcriptase.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
The HIV enzyme integrase plays a critical role in the process of integrating the HIV proviral DNA into the human DNA. Humans do not have an integrase enzyme. The HIV integrase enzyme is a 288 amino-acid protein that consists of three distinct structural domains: the carboxy (C)-terminal domain, the amino (N)-terminal domain, and the catalytic core domain. The catalytic core domain contains a trio of amino acids that coordinate binding with a divalent metal (either Mg2+ or Mn2+) and form an active catalytic site.

Illustration by David Ehler, Cognition Studio
The HIV integrase enzyme can exist in the form of a monomer, dimer, tetramer, and possibly higher order forms, such as octomers.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio
The HIV integrase binds to HIV DNA (most likely in the dimer form); the integrase-HIV DNA complex is part of a particle known as the preintegration complex. This newly formed preintegration complex has to migrate inside the host nucleus for integration to occur.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
Figure 13 (Image Series) - Integration of HIV DNA into Host DNA
Image 13B: HIV Integrase Strand Transfer

This strand transfer reaction is initiated as the HIV integrase catalyzes the HIV DNA 3-hydroxyl group attack on the host DNA. The attack by the viral DNA occurs on opposite strands of the host DNA in a staggered fashion, typically 4-6 base pairs apart.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
**Figure 13 (Image Series) - Integration of HIV DNA into Host DNA**

Image 13C: Unfolding of Integrated HIV DNA

At this point, the newly joined viral-host DNA region unfolds. The insertion of the new HIV DNA induce a host cellular DNA damage response. This host response is critical in the final step of integration, known as gap repair.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
The HIV DNA that is incorporated into the host DNA is referred to as proviral DNA.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
Figure 14 Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor

With binding to the HIV integrase, the INSTIs have a multifaceted mechanism of action that includes sequestering the Mg$^{2+}$ ions and blocking the binding site, displacing the 3'-hydroxyl ends of viral DNA that play a critical role in strand transfer, and prevention of host DNA substrate with the HIV integrase.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
**Figure 15 HIV Protease**

HIV protease is a 99-amino acid dimer made up of two identical subunits.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
**Figure 16 HIV Protease and Configurations**

This figure shows the HIV protease enzyme in three configurations: open, semi-closed, and closed.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open</th>
<th>Semi-Open</th>
<th>Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Open" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Semi-Open" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Closed" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 17 HIV Gag Protein Processing by HIV Protease

The HIV Gag protein processing occurs with sequential cleavages by HIV protease. The end result is the separation of four proteins: matrix (MA), capsid (CA), nucleocapsid (NC), and p6. This cleavage process also separates out spacer peptide 1 (SP1) and spacer peptide 2 (SP2). myr = myristic acid moiety and myr plays a key role in matrix binding to the phospholipid membrane.

Illustration: David H. Spach, MD
Figure 18 HIV Protease Inhibitor

The HIV protease inhibitors bind to the active site of HIV protease and prevent protease processing of the Gag and Gag-Pol polyproteins.

Illustration by David Ehlert, Cognition Studio and David Spach, MD
Figure 19 ART Cohort Collaboration: Risk of Developing New AIDS-Defining Disease or Death After 3 Years Based on CD4 Cell Count and HIV RNA Level at the Time of Therapy

The analysis is based on 12,574 antiretroviral-naïve adult patients who started on antiretroviral therapy that consisted of a combination of at least 3 medications. An AIDS-defining illness was based on the 1993 CDC classification (except those persons who developed a CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm³ were not classified as having a new AIDS-defining illness).

Figure 20 CIPRA-HT-001: Survival in the Early-Treatment and Standard Treatment Groups

In this open label trial conducted in Haiti, 816 HIV-infected individuals with absolute CD4 counts between 200 and 350 cells/mm³ were randomized to either early-treatment (immediate initiation of antiretroviral therapy) or standard-treatment (initiation of antiretroviral therapy when the CD4 count dropped to 200 cells/mm³ or less or when clinical AIDS developed). There were 23 deaths in the standard-treatment group, as compared with 6 in the early-treatment group (hazard ratio with standard treatment, 4.0; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.6 to 9.8; \( P = 0.001 \)).

In this study, 1173 patients were followed on highly active antiretroviral therapy and data was analyzed according to the baseline CD4 cell count, durable virologic suppression, and evidence of disease progression. A durable virologic response was defined as having a greater number of undetectable [less than 400 copies/ml] viral loads than detectable viral loads after initiating therapy; disease progression was defined as a new AIDS-defining illness or death.

In the START Trial, investigators randomized symptomatic individuals with HIV infection who have a CD4 count greater than 350 cells/mm$^3$ to immediately start antiretroviral therapy or defer therapy. The number of serious AIDS or non-AIDS defining events occurred in fewer patients in the immediate therapy group versus those in the deferred therapy (1.8% versus 4.1%).

Figure 23 Swiss Cohort

In this study, 5299 antiretroviral-therapy naive patients were followed to observe CD4 cell count responses after 7 years of antiretroviral therapy. Groups were stratified by baseline CD4 count and although all groups had significant increases in CD4 counts, the recovery to near normal CD4 count levels was much more likely to occur in those with higher baseline CD4 counts.

Source: Gras L, Kesselring AM, Griffin JT, et al. CD4 cell counts of 800 cells/mm³ or greater after 7 years of highly active antiretroviral therapy are feasible in most patients starting with 350 cells/mm³ or greater. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2007;45:183-92.
**Figure 25 Outcome of Patients in ESPRIT Trial**

This graph shows the outcome of 4111 patients with a CD4 count greater than 350 cells/mm$^3$ who were randomized to receive interleukin-2 plus antiretroviral therapy or antiretroviral therapy alone.

Figure 26 Outcome of Patients in SILCATT Trial

This graph shows the outcome of 1695 patients with a CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm$^3$ who were randomized to receive interleukin-2 plus antiretroviral therapy or antiretroviral therapy alone. Abbreviations: OI = opportunistic infection

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is recommended for all individuals with HIV, regardless of CD4 T lymphocyte cell count, to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with HIV infection (AI).

ART is also recommended for individuals with HIV to prevent HIV transmission (AI).

When initiating ART, it is important to educate patients regarding the benefits and considerations of ART, and to address strategies to optimize adherence. On a case-by-case basis, ART may be deferred because of clinical and/or psychosocial factors, but therapy should be initiated as soon as possible.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = Data from randomized controlled trials; II = Data from well-designed nonrandomized trials, observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes, relative bioavailability/bioequivalence studies, or regimen comparisons from randomized switch studies; III = Expert opinion

Source:

- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy. October 17, 2017. [AIDSinfo]
Considerations Before Initiating Dolutegravir and Other Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors as Initial Therapy

Pregnancy testing should be performed in those of childbearing potential prior to initiation of antiretroviral therapy (AIII). Preliminary data suggest that there is an increased risk of neural tube defects in infants born to women who were receiving dolutegravir at the time of conception. **Before Initiating Dolutegravir:**

- Providers and people of childbearing potential should discuss the benefits and risks of using dolutegravir, including the possible risk of neural tube defects; appropriate counseling should be provided so that the individual can make an informed decision about the use of this drug (AIII).
- Dolutegravir should not be prescribed for individuals:
  - Who are pregnant and within 12 weeks post-conception (AII); or
  - Who are of childbearing potential and planning to become pregnant (AII); or
  - Who are of childbearing potential, sexually active, and not using effective contraception (AIII).
- For those who are using effective contraception, a dolutegravir-based regimen can be considered after weighing the risks and benefits of dolutegravir use with the individual (BIII).
- It is not yet known whether other INSTIs pose a similar risk of neural tube defects (i.e., a class effect).
- The chemical structure of bictegravir is similar to dolutegravir. There are no safety data on the use of bictegravir around the time of conception. For those who are of childbearing potential, but who are not pregnant, an approach similar to that outlined for dolutegravir should be discussed before considering the use of bictegravir-containing antiretroviral therapy (AIII).
- In a person who is pregnant, bictegravir is not recommended because of insufficient safety data (AII).
- In a person who is pregnant, elvitegravir-cobicistat is also not recommended because low elvitegravir concentrations have been reported when this drug is given during the second and third trimesters (AII).
- Among those who received raltegravir during pregnancy, the rate of fetal malformations is within the expected range for pregnancy outcomes in the United States; however, data on raltegravir use during the first trimester is limited to fewer than 300 deliveries. As it is currently not known whether the association between dolutegravir and neural tube defects represents a class effect, this potential risk should be discussed with people of childbearing potential who prefer an INSTI-containing regimen.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional
Rating of Evidence: I = Data from randomized controlled trials; II = Data from well-designed nonrandomized trials, observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes, relative bioavailability/bioequivalence studies, or regimen comparisons from randomized switch studies; III = Expert opinion
Source:
Table 4. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV

**Recommended Initial Regimens in Certain Clinical Situations**

These regimens are effective and tolerable, but have some disadvantages when compared with the regimens listed above, or have less supporting data from randomized clinical trials. However, in certain clinical situations, one of these regimens may be preferred.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Notes and Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors + 2 Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Elvitegravir-cobicistat-tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Elvitegravir-cobicistat-tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Raltegravir[^c]\ plus abacavir-lamivudine[^a]\ (CII)—if HLA-B*5701 negative and HIV RNA &lt;100,000 copies/mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Boosted Protease Inhibitor plus 2 Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors:**\[^a,\]^b

(in general, boosted Darunavir is preferred over boosted Atazanavir):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Notes and Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir plus ritonavir plus tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir plus ritonavir plus tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir-cobicistat plus tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir-cobicistat plus tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Atazanavir plus ritonavir plus tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Atazanavir plus ritonavir plus tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Atazanavir-cobicistat plus tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Atazanavir-cobicistat plus tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir plus ritonavir plus abacavir-lamivudine[^a]\ (BII)—if HLA-B*5701 negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir-cobicistat plus abacavir-lamivudine[^a]\ (BII)—if HLA-B*5701 negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor + 2 Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Notes and Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Doravirine-tenofovir DF[^b]-lamivudine (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Doravirine plus tenofovir alafenamide-emtricitabine (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Efavirenz (600 mg) plus tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Efavirenz (600 mg)-tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Efavirenz (600 mg)-tenofovir DF-lamivudine (BII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rilpivirine-tenofovir DF[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BII)—if HIV RNA &lt;100,000 copies/mL and CD4 count &gt;200 cells/mm(^3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rilpivirine-tenofovir alafenamide[^b]-emtricitabine[^a]\ (BII)—if HIV RNA &lt;100,000 copies/mL and CD4 count &gt;200 cells/mm(^3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regimens to Consider when Abacavir, Tenofovir alafenamide, and Tenofovir DF Cannot be Used or Are Not Optimal:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Notes and Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Dolutegravir plus lamivudine (BI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir plus ritonavir plus raltegravir (BID) (CI)—if HIV RNA &lt;100,000 copies/mL and CD4 &gt;200 cells/mm(^3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Darunavir plus ritonavir once daily plus lamivudine[^a]\ (BID) (CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[^a]\ Lamivudine may substitute for emtricitabine or vice versa, if a non-fixed dose NRTI combination is desired.

\[^b]\ Tenofovir alafenamide and tenofovir DF are two forms of tenofovir approved by the FDA. Tenofovir alafenamide has fewer bone and kidney toxicities than tenofovir DF, while tenofovir DF is associated...
These regimens are effective and tolerable, but have some disadvantages when compared with the regimens listed above, or have less supporting data from randomized clinical trials. However, in certain clinical situations, one of these regimens may be preferred.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>with lower lipid levels. Safety, cost, and access are among the factors to consider when choosing between these drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raltegravir can be given as 400 mg BID or 1200 mg (two 600-mg tablets) once daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several other NRTI-limiting treatment strategies are under investigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir-ritonavir plus lamivudine is the only boosted PI plus lamivudine regimen with published 48-week data in a randomized controlled trial in ART-naive patients. Limitations of lopinavir-ritonavir plus lamivudine include twice-daily dosing, high pill burden, and greater rates of gastrointestinal side effects than other PIs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating of Recommendations:**
- A = Strong
- B = Moderate
- C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:**
- I = Data from randomized controlled trials
- II = Data from well-designed nonrandomized trials, observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes, relative bioavailability/bioequivalence studies, or regimen comparisons from randomized switch studies
- III = Expert opinion

**Source:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiretroviral Components or Regimens</th>
<th>Reasons for Not Recommending as Initial Therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTIs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abacavir-lamivudine-zidovudine (coformulated)</td>
<td>Inferior virologic efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As triple NRTI combination regimen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abacavir-lamivudine-zidovudine plus tenofovir DF (as quadruple NRTI combination regimen)</td>
<td>Inferior virologic efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stavudine plus lamivudine</td>
<td>Significant toxicities (including lipoatrophy, peripheral neuropathy) and hyperlactatemia (including symptomatic and life-threatening lactic acidosis, hepatic steatosis, and pancreatitis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didanosine plus lamivudine (or emtricitabine)</td>
<td>Inferior virologic efficacy, Limited clinical trial experience in ART-naive patients, Didanosine toxicities, such as pancreatitis and peripheral neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didanosine plus tenofovir DF</td>
<td>High rate of early virologic failure, Rapid selection of resistance mutations, Potential for immunologic nonresponse/CD4 cell decline, Increased didanosine drug exposure and toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zidovudine-lamivudine</td>
<td>Greater toxicities (including bone marrow suppression, GI toxicities, skeletal muscle myopathy, cardiomyopathy, and mitochondrial toxicities such as lipoatrophy, lactic acidosis, and hepatic steatosis) than recommended NRTIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Inferior virologic efficacy, Inconvenient (three times daily) dosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etravirine</td>
<td>Insufficient data in antiretroviral-naive patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Associated with serious and potentially fatal toxicity (hepatic events and severe rash, including Stevens Johnson Syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis), When compared to efavirenz, nevirapine did not meet noninferiority criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protease Inhibitors (PIs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atazanavir (unboosted)</td>
<td>Less potent than boosted atazanavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darunavir (unboosted)</td>
<td>Use without ritonavir or cobicistat has not been studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiretroviral Components or Regimens</td>
<td>Reasons for Not Recommending as Initial Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Fosamprenavir (unboosted) or Fosamprenavir plus ritonavir               | • Virologic failure with unboosted fosamprenavir-based regimen may result in selection of mutations that confer resistance to fosamprenavir and darunavir  
  • Less clinical trial data for fosamprenavir plus ritonavir than for other ritonavir-boosted PIs |
| Indinavir (unboosted)                                                   | • Inconvenient dosing (3 times daily with meal restrictions)  
  • Fluid requirement  
  • Indinavir toxicities, such as nephrolithiasis and crystalluria |
| Indinavir plus ritonavir                                                | • Fluid requirement  
  • Indinavir toxicities, such as nephrolithiasis and crystalluria |
| Lopinavir-ritonavir                                                     | • Higher pill burden than other PI-based regimens  
  • Higher ritonavir dose than other PI-based regimens  
  • Gastrointestinal intolerance |
| Nelfinavir                                                             | • Inferior virologic efficacy  
  • Diarrhea |
| Ritonavir as sole PI                                                    | • High pill burden  
  • GI intolerance  
  • Metabolic toxicity |
| Saquinavir (unboosted)                                                 | • Inadequate bioavailability  
  • Inferior virologic efficacy |
| Saquinavir plus ritonavir                                              | • High pill burden  
  • Can cause QT and PR prolongation; requires pretreatment and follow-up ECG |
| Tipranavir plus ritonavir                                              | • Inferior virologic efficacy  
  • Higher rate of adverse events than other ritonavir-boosted PIs  
  • Higher dose of ritonavir required for boosting than other ritonavir-boosted PIs |

**Entry Inhibitors**

| Enfuvirtide (T-20) Fusion Inhibitor                                    | • Only studied in patients with virologic failure  
  • Twice-daily subcutaneous injections  
  • High rate of injection site reactions |
| Ibalizumab                                                             | • Only studied in a very small number of patients with virologic failure  
  • Requires intravenous therapy  
  • High cost |
| Maraviroc                                                              | • Requires testing for CCR5 tropism before initiation of therapy  
  • No virologic benefit when compared with other recommended regimens  
  • Requires twice-daily dosing |

Source: